

SAUCON VALLEY PARTNERSHIP MEETING

Date: Wednesday, May 13, 2009
Time: 7:00 PM
Location: Lower Saucon Township

- A. **Call to Order:** The Saucon Valley Partnership meeting was called to order by Ed Inghrim, on May 13, 2009 at 7:03 PM at Lower Saucon Township.
- B. **Roll Call: Present:** Jane Balum, Priscilla deLeon, Mayor Richard Fluck, Ed Inghrim, Jennie McKenna from Hellertown Borough; Jack Cahalan, Charlie Luthar. **Guests:** Tom Harp from the County, George Howey. **Absent:** Tom Maxfield, Glenn Kern and Susan Baxter. Gail Nolf arrived at 7:08 PM.
- C. **Council of Governments**
1. **Minutes of March 2009 & April 2009 Meeting:** Jane moved for approval of the April minutes, second by Priscilla. All in favor.
 2. **Treasurer's Report** – Jack said the fund balance as of April 30, 2009 is \$13,957.50. There are no receipts or expenses paid out of that account. The Treasurer's report is labeled incorrectly. It says on the top fund balance as of March 31, 2009. It should read fund balance as of April 30, 2009. That amount is \$10,495.35. There were no receipts and no expenses during April. Priscilla moved for approval, second by Jane. All in favor.
 3. **Approval to pay bills (if any)** – Jack said there were two bills. One from EPD on February 21 and 28 for their services for a total of \$1,354.24. Priscilla said did we have a quorum at the last meeting? Jack said no, we did not. This bill would have been the one to be approved at the March meeting. Motion by Priscilla, second by Jane for approval of the March bills. All in favor. Jack said the second bill is for March 1 through March 31 from EPD for their services in the amount of \$1,727.10. Mayor Fluck moved for approval of the April bills, second by Priscilla. All in favor.
- D. **Saucon Valley Multi-Municipal Comprehensive Plan**
1. **Revised Comp Plant & Schedule – Discussion – (Note: EPD will be available by telephone)** – Jack said he and Charlie have had several meetings with Olev from Lehigh Valley Planning Commission (LVPC) regarding what they call inconsistencies between our draft comprehensive plan and the County comprehensive plan. After several meetings, we did get a memorandum from Olev about a month ago at Mike Kaiser's insistence that he narrow it down. We did get that memo and we did have Carolyn and AJ from EPD review that. It reduced several pages of comments down to about five main subject areas. The first was land use, second was utilities, third was housing, fourth was transportation and the fifth was capital improvements. Transportation – we had discussed this at previous meetings. They are asking us to actually do a lot of traffic studies and to gather a lot of data that we understand should have been previously gathered by the LVPC. Our understanding is that other regional planning authorities in the state do these kinds of studies and have this kind of data available for use in comp plans. Way back when we started this with EPD, they were surprised when we told them this data was not available. LVPC have been pushing us to do this and as a group, we decided it wasn't one of our short term priorities. We may do it down the road when money is available. It's a very low priority for us right now and we said that all along. It's still on their list as one of the items they wanted to see addressed. The other thing they did go into and it almost took up a full page was the land use categories. We have a response from Carolyn. The land use categories are simply designations they wanted labels for various areas in the region on a land use map. We did as they asked and went along with it. Carolyn said when she gave us a list of those designations, we're not wedded to any of these. These are just labels that she put together. This gets into a big discussion about the land use. The other issue was the utilities. We had given them a lot of data from Hellertown Borough Authority and the Lower Saucon Authority. We thought that had answered that question. They did have a little bit of an issue about the municipal services boundary and also about whether

there would be public or on lot sewer, and that we will get into. The housing issue keeps on coming back. If we gave them a definition ten more times on affordable housing, we think they would still have questions as there isn't one correct answer on this. We looked at other comprehensive plans and don't think we've seen any better answers than we have. The capital improvements plan is basically talking about identifying funding for some of the studies. We decided they are not a priority. That's a quick run through on their comments on their letter. Carolyn and AJ did prepare this for us and we went over it briefly with Carolyn. One thing about Carolyn is she is able to stay very diplomatic about the response, but in reality, she and AJ are a little upset about this as there are some things in the letter that we got from LVPC that really makes you scratch your head. There are some differences here between what we are trying to do and what they are asking us to do. Jack doesn't think we are able, with our plan, to have it exactly dovetail in every item with the LVPC's regional plan. What Carolyn is recommending, and what we will recommend to you, is that we prepare a letter response to the LVPC responding to some of the issues they continue to raise over and over again. We thought we had answered them, and apparently we didn't. Priscilla said what does this do to our meeting we are supposed to have in June? Jack said we are still going forward. The two Planning Commissions met jointly and there are copies of the minutes of the last meeting here for your information. Both PCs have gone over this. Charlie said the Hellertown PC had been upset with the letters with going back and forth. Their response was more or less "forget it". They believe the plan is well done, it was done promptly, and it's covering all kinds of things that the Borough wanted to see. Last night, Chris Christian picked up on one section having to do with the municipal services boundary in the LVPC letter and he feels that it's a confused mess. He was very critical of it, and Phil Weber said forget it, let's move on. Priscilla said we can't have them upset with us. That's going to haunt us for the next fifteen years. Someone said what if we truly disagree with them. Jack said we do, and that's exactly what is happening. He doesn't think that our plan, where we both agree, agrees totally with how the whole Lehigh Valley should go. Ed said is it the difference format or comfort? Jack said what they are talking about on the first and second page is basically our map doesn't look like their map. We spent over two years going over the planning of all the joint types of uses and when we looked down the road, we said this is how the Saucon Valley can look in the future. That does not jive 100% with how they said the Lehigh Valley should look, and we're not going to agree. Charlie said the land use was one example. When you look at what Olev is writing there, he's telling the township to go to one acre zoning. That isn't what this body wants. Priscilla said it's held up in the courts and we're allowed to do the two acres zoning with restrictions. This is a perfect example where bigger isn't always better because we don't want to lose our identities. Ed said why we don't just agree to disagree. Priscilla said there's no law that says they have to endorse our plan. Jennie said you had some good conversations with the Director Mike Kaiser of the LVPC? He's steering that ship, but not in a sense where we're kind of in line with overall, did she hear that correctly? Jack said in terms of the planning process and the fact that we are working together. Someone said we also disagreed with the densities and we had to be careful what we put in the plan and what we wanted to see as densities to prevent any problems. Priscilla said we didn't want to sewer the entire Saucon Valley, and once we do that, the density would be out of control and we couldn't handle it. Charlie said that's one of the places he gets tripped on himself as he's talking about sprawl and about greater development, then he gets into the municipal services boundary and he has it kind of backwards. Priscilla said she's a little iffy on the municipal services boundaries too. We sat here for all those meetings and that's a whole other ballgame. Charlie said we certainly have the ability to approve this and send them a copy.

Jack said Carolyn's response is kind of middle of the road. When we do a response, we are going to point out to make it a little clearer where we have differences of opinion. Ed said she makes it very clear in land use our plan is simply reflecting what the two communities want. Jack said and that does not fit in. He attached a chart on the back and tried to point out where there were differences. One was in the township where Star Village is at the top of the hill. The LVPC has it as a rural designation. Priscilla said there's no way it's rural. Jack said Lehigh University owns it. We don't agree. Priscilla said plus it's forested. Jack said right. Priscilla said what does R mean over at the landfill? Jack said rural/residential. Priscilla said it's next to our LI zone, and we're keeping that LI and it's next to the industrial zone by the landfill. We worked so hard at this. Jack said we don't have to feel we are lacking in anything. We have a good solid plan. It just does not jive with what they came up with. Once you get by the land use categories, the rest of it is just some to dos' that they've

been looking for. Priscilla said the legislators specifically put in there generally consistent with the plan, just like adjacent municipalities. Jack said personally I think if we had Mike Kaiser, he'd say it was generally consistent, but Olev doesn't want to give any of this up. He still has an issue with some of these things missing and then he turned it over to Joe Gurinko, who is the transportation planner and Joe said we didn't have any of the traffic studies in here. Priscilla said the LVPC is supposed to have certain things for the two counties and you say these traffic studies should have been done by them and they haven't been done? Jack said no, our understanding is that they are usually done in other areas of the state by regional planning authorities. Even though they represent Lehigh and Northampton Counties, they do not consider themselves a regional planning authority. There's some sort of an out which isn't mandated. Priscilla said we talked about the twelve year plan with PennDOT. Are they talking about local roads, PennDOT roads or all the roads? Jack said all the roads. This type of data is generally available to planners in other parts of the state to do these comprehensive plans. Ed said how can you do a regional transportation study municipality by municipality. Jack said this is where we are showing if there are more and more of these multi-municipal plans similar to us, there are going to be differences with the LVPC plan. They are committed to a certain type of a pattern. This is where we are diverting from that. It's not that we are necessarily doing anything wrong. It's just that we have some other ideas about how we want to go forward and it doesn't agree with them. In December, they gave us a cookie cutter plan and if we would have adopted that, they would have said great. Charlie said it wouldn't have represented these two communities. Jennie said her only question is, she doesn't know how they are going to take our answer about the rural densities? Jack said what he is suggesting would put the township at risk. Gail said when they do their comprehensive plan, and do all the statistics, did any municipality have any input to that? She would consider our disagreement with them, us more or less refining it. We're here and we know what we want. She doesn't know how they went about doing it. Jack said he thinks they sent it around in draft form at meetings where you could show up and give your comments. Charlie said they collected the data as they have a lot of data and they submitted it as you did to go around to the communities. In terms of input, he doesn't think they were getting information from us like traffic studies. They generated it themselves. Jack said one of the differences we are showing them is groups like us or COG's are not being addressed. Ed said they are having a cookie cutter plan in mind that one size fits all. Priscilla said we are township and a borough and we have different needs. Ed said they were talking about school consolidation and it made more sense for us to be consolidated with Southern Lehigh than Easton. Jack said looking at all of the letters, Hellertown can be happy that the letter has nothing to do with the Borough. It's 98% outside the Borough limits, and it's over these designations. They have on their map right outside the Borough that it's all rural. We took the densities and we stepped them down because we felt after all these meeting and discussions that it doesn't agree with the LVPC regional plan. We think it works with the infrastructure that we have and they don't like that. Charlie said we are going to respond to this and send it to them one more time. Jack said this argument they are coming back with about the designations, they asked us to provide these labels for each of the areas. We didn't have any on the map, so we said, fine, we gave them the labels, and now we're in an argument about these labels. Mayor Fluck said it seems we should just move on if we all agree that the plan we have here is what we want. How many times are we going to have to send it back to the LVPC, and every time it goes back, they find something else different with it. It just keeps prolonging the adoption of the plan. Priscilla said we should talk to the Solicitor and make sure we are okay. Jack said we can do that. Gail said it seems that the LVPC tried to lay a template on us and it doesn't fit. Priscilla said we did give them an opportunity back and forth several times. It's not like we said we're not going to do it. We even spent more money to amend the plan to try to address their concerns. Ed said it sounds like we are all in agreement. Jack said we'll work on a letter.

Jack said he has a copy of a letter and it has gone out as an invitation to all the community stakeholders regarding the meeting of June 10, 2009 in the Audion room at the school district, 7:00 to 9:00 PM. AJ Schwartz will be there from Environmental Planning and Design. Carolyn said she will not be there as she will be off for a couple of months and will be back in August. We have invited, in addition to the Steering Committee, the Councils, the PC's, and other key individuals. There was a suggestion from the PC to focus on the placemat or a smaller version of the comp plan and set down what our mission was, so we're going to work on that. That will be the focus of the meeting. We are not going to bore

everybody by running through all of the charts, etc. We'll be able to answer any questions. We'll set up the Audion room with tables facing each other. There will be quorums there and that has been advertised. There will be coffee, water, cookies, fruit, cheese and soda. Dr. Fellin and the School Board were also invited.

E. Brief Report and Discussion Items

- 1. Casino Impacts Funding – update** – Jack said as you know the casino is going to open on May 22. He's driven by the building and there is a flurry of activity to get everything done on time. On May 22, it's called a soft opening and the official opening is on June 6, 2009. The billboards along Route I-78 are starting to fill up with advertisements. In preparation of that and for the start up of the county gaming and redevelopment authority that formed, they asked all the five municipalities to recommend or nominate who they would like on that body and the township recommended Priscilla and the Borough recommended Stephanie Kovacs. Also recommended were the Mayor of Freemansburg, the Manager of Hanover Township, and a Commissioner of Bethlehem Township. County Council wanted to go through a public advertising period and anyone else who was interested had to submit their name. There have been other COGs and other regional areas in Northampton County who felt they were under represented. The five representatives from the contiguous municipalities and County Executive will be there and we have a good majority. Priscilla said why does the City of Bethlehem want a seat in there? They are getting their money as the host. If you're not the host, and the money goes to the other municipalities, you can't get paid twice. Tom said there are grants and things like that. Priscilla said so they can double dip. Tom said sure. In preparation for this process, we submitted a proposal to the county regarding allocating of the funding. It's the only proposal so far that's been submitted. It's simple and fair and objective. The County Executive thinks it's the way to go. In that grant procedure, we anticipate we will be asked to document some of the impacts and one of the impacts is traffic which is going to be affected. The papers have said, and it's consistent with what he read before, there is not going to be a big jump in crime immediately and that is basically around the casino, but there will be more traffic, more traffic stops by the Police Department, and more emergency and fire calls. Before the casino opened, we wanted to get some traffic counts on the roads leading into the City of Bethlehem. We asked Hanover Engineering to give us their proposal for doing these traffic counts. That came in and it's being circulated amongst the five municipalities and we should point out that we also have Bethlehem Township on board with us on this. We will each be sharing the cost of this study, which is \$1,920 each and this will be taken to the various Council meetings for approval. Charlie said Hanover Township really wants to get this traffic count done. Priscilla said shouldn't it have been done six months ago because of the construction traffic? Gail said most of the construction traffic has been cleared out and the guys are gone. There's a lot of staff training going on in there right now. Jennie said she doesn't know if we can get the information from PennDOT, but how do they measure volume coming in from a certain area. It may be something, without any more cost that they could fold into their analysis with the studies that have been done already. Charlie said we can ask them as they do periodic studies on I-78 and some of the ones on the list are done by PennDOT. Gail said Southeastern maintains call data information and they respond on the eastern part of I-78 as Dewey does and we respond on the western side with Se-Wy-Co, so there is history as to number of calls, but how are you going to document whether it's related to casino traffic or not. That's tough, but if your call volume goes up on I-78, it goes up and up; she'd say the it would be casino traffic. Bethlehem Township was also questioning that if the numbers go up, how can you demonstrate that. If the numbers go up, we are trying to demonstrate we want some share of the cost and this money should be allocated. Priscilla said if traffic on I-78 increases, the percentage of that increase should equal the percentage of the accidents or response calls. Ed said he didn't think you would be able to separate casino traffic and growth in the Lehigh Valley because of New Jersey. Hanover Engineering wants to move fast on this traffic count. Charlie said the timing is going to work on this well as now starts the season for vacation time. Jack said the crime in the casino is almost non-existent. Directly outside, you have security. You drive a couple of miles down the road, that's where crime occurs. Jack said people looking for treatment with gambling, the County is in charge of that. They do put money into anti-gambling for that, but not a lot for the increases in alcoholism or child abuse.

2. **Joint COG Projects** – Charlie said Roger Rasich and Tom Henshaw went together yesterday to look at paving projects. He doesn't know if there were any school district projects. Hellertown, according to Tom, there's \$200,000 worth of work that he would like to do. Jack said we have a lot of park improvements which include paving. The Rail to Trail negotiations are kind of limping along. We thought we were going to have a meeting this past Monday with the solicitor from SEPTA, and he couldn't come at the last minute. We are trying to get some issues ironed out with the lease agreement. We are going to have to deal with the issues one on one. There's not a lot to finish on the lease, it's just some basic language. We hope to have the document available to bring back to the Councils. In the meantime, track clearing continues. There are still some tracks to take up in Hellertown. We have to take up with SEPTA some of the equipment they promised to move like the signal boxes. One of the concerns is trespassing on the trail. The public has discovered it and some are on walking and some are on with their ATVs. It's not our property at this point, but if we do sign the lease, we are going to have to make sure that people are not allowed on it until the safety issues are addressed. The Ironton Rail Trail Advisory Committee has agreed to come and help us get started.

3. **Traffic – Polk Valley Road/Walnut Street Intersections; Speed Limits on Apple, Skibo & Friedensville Roads; School Zones** - Jack said he has nothing new on Polk Valley Road and the 412 intersection. Charlie said on Walnut Street, they did have a meeting with PennDOT about the handicapped ramps at the crosswalks. That was a very good meeting. We are going to have to remove the ramps. The speed limits on Apple Street have been reduced down to 25 MPH. For Friedensville Road we still have to contact PennDOT as that's a state road. Jack said the Township is trying to get a meeting with PennDOT. Charlie said there was a student struck by a van and the board took up that issue about making a school safety zone there. He wrote to Joe Rauscher at PennDOT and asked for a study on behalf of the Borough, Township and School District and Joe said they would do that if we come up with an inter-municipal agreement on who is going to maintain and pay for the signage. They would send their crew out at that time and come up with an assessment and plan as to how many signs were needed. Jack said this is a simple document and we did a similar one for the school safety crossing guards. What it says is the school district agrees to pay for the purchasing of the signs, the Borough and the township agree to maintain them, and if any of the signs are damaged or missing, they have to be replaced by the school district and they have to agree to pay for them. Charlie said he and Tom went out and looked at Constitution and they don't have all the statistics yet. They gave Wally an estimate that the signs would be \$1,500, but they are guessing. There's a cost of installing them with an equal number between the township and the borough. They would identify 15 MPH during school morning and when they get out of school. Ed said has anyone ever thought or suggested that Hellertown annex the school property so that the school comes under the responsibility of Hellertown police as opposed to what we have to figure out right now as to what side of the boundary is it on. Jack said the school district is probably getting the best of both. We've been consistent with the enforcement. Gail said Lower Saucon is probably more able to handle the needs of the school district, based on its size. Ed said Hellertown is six blocks away. Jack said someone brought up an issue about Cherry Lane and Easton Road. Construction vehicles and cars are parking along Easton Road and it is difficult to see when you pull out of Cherry Lane onto Easton Road. The parking is on the City of Bethlehem's side. Jack said they will follow up on that.

4. **Joint Enterprise Zone with City of Bethlehem – update** – Charlie said still no word from the City. They have not named a Director yet.

5. **Hellertown – Lower Saucon Compost Center – update** – Charlie said the compost center is doing great. We're averaging four or five sheets of names a day. The very first weekend we had about 264 residents visit the center. Tom has extended the perimeter road with Roger around the property so the fire company can go in and access everything in there. The fence is almost done. We don't have enough money to finish it this year; we need about 700 feet more of fencing. We got a volunteer from the school district to help out and he's doing it as an Eagle project.

5. **Tax Reassessment – update** – Charlie said Tina and Cathy Gorman know a heck of a lot more about this. With the passage of Act 32 at the state level, there is a requirement that each county act on developing a collection agency and all the municipalities in that county are supposed to get together.

What Tina has told him, there has to be a meeting by November 15th. Each municipality can have one municipal representative and one alternate and there is a voting range that says the person has 50% of the voting power based on population, and 50% is based on revenue. Cathy and Tina came away from the meeting with the idea to actually form an agreement between our municipalities and the school district and have one representative representing all of us. We would then increase the size of our voice. He asked the question and Tina couldn't answer this, another representative from the school district might be able to represent us. Our EIT in Hellertown is \$500,000. Tom Harp said our only obligation in this process is to conduct the first meeting of all the representatives. At that point in time, there has to be a vote for the Chair, Vice-Chair and Secretary. The Chair and Vice-Chair has to be a delegate and the Secretary can be somebody else. We're assuming that's an equal vote by majority. What we are planning to do, we've set a tentative date of October 22nd, to adopt a resolution. He's going to see if there is a sample resolution from DCED. We have to give 21 days notice of the meeting which will be October 22nd, so we'd get a legal notice out by October 1st. Between now and then we are going to have to just shake out some of these details. Ed said Act 32 basically says you have to set it up county wide. Is there anything in Act 32 that would prohibit that county from outsourcing it to a private agency? Tom said he's not aware of anything. The purpose of this committee is to decide how they want to do that. If they want to outsource it to a private outfit, then maybe they'll put out an RFP and you have a team that reviews it. Jack said you are going to see a lot of competition. Ed said he'd rather have the private entity that has that expertise bid on it rather than set up another administrative organization. Priscilla said the reason they are doing this is because of the inconsistencies. No disrespect to the committee being formed, but they haven't ever probably done this before and now they are reinventing the wheel again. How does Berkheimer know if they have all the people? Ed said Berkheimer gets a list from the state and whoever files a state income tax is on that list, and they compare that. When you fill the tax out, you have to put down your school district.

6. **Fire Services – update** - Jack said we had meetings with the Emergency Services group, both Dewey and the four fire companies from the township. We've been working toward the task force or fire services group. We are still in the process of collecting information. He has to put a call into the representative from DCED as he may have some updates. They have promised the peer facilitators to work with this group and that is continuing. The Fire Chiefs are meeting on the issue and the elected officials have a meeting coming up next week to discuss the issue of manning the fire response during the 6 AM to 6 PM period, when it is a critical time. This is continuing and at some point, we will have some structure.
7. **Leithsville Act 537 Plan – update** – Charlie said we did get a report back from the Lower Saucon Authority. We'll have that before our Council shortly.
8. **Other Issues** – None.

H. **County Report** – Tom Harp was present. He said E-cycling and household hazardous waste events – an update. They had their first event this past Saturday. It was not quite as successful as last year. They had one total tractor load of electronic equipment. Jack said the township runs an electronic recycling and we've done it for four years and we do it twice a year. The one from last year was down. We had our last one on April 24th and had 18 pallets of electronics dropped off. That was pretty successful. Tom said they are looking forward to this Saturday up in the Slate Belt as it'll be their first event at Washington Township Elementary School. The Slate Belt COG is behind it a lot. That looks real good. In October, they'll have another recycling event at Nazareth and the household hazardous waste event on October 12th. On the COG webpage, we have a couple of the web pages for the COGs on the County website. He will get an email out to the four COGs. They are looking for four representatives from each of the COGs to be given administrative privileges for the website. What they are looking for is to have at least a couple of people from each COG, and if you would want something posted, you would notify that person and they would be able to go into that program and be able to post the information. Somebody has to have a little bit of training in that. Their IT staff would be willing to come out and sit down and walk them through it. If you have anybody who is interested, let him know. Hopefully by June, they will have it up and running so people can start using it. The next joint meeting of the COG is June 9th from 10 AM to 12:30 PM at Palmer Township at the Chrin Community Center. If you know of any speakers you'd like to see there, let him

know. We already talked about Act 32. Community Development Block Grant - he thinks you all got an email from Laurie Sywensky and Liz Daniels. It's a follow up on the CDBG exercise. This year there are actually two programs, the entitlement, the regular program and the CDBG recovery which is the stimulus money. The due date for the CDBG is May 27th. The County entitlement allocation is about \$1.8 million this year and the recovery funding is about \$482,000 for those stimulus funds. You have all that information and what to do and who to call.

Ed said the sidewalk project from the school to the park, is that ready? Jack said the project that is replacing the sidewalk, is a trail and we are working on that right now. It's going through the whole planning stage. Council hasn't approved it yet as there's a barn addition protruding into the right of way and we still haven't gotten a settlement from the homeowner to demolish it. We have to get a bridge approved by DEP to go over Polk Valley Run. When Council approves this plan, we will bring the school district in and we have to grant a waiver of the sidewalk requirement.

Charlie said we have to piggyback on the CDBG grant with the handicapped ramps. When we go back for a rebid we will ask for an additional amount.

Tom said he sent something out on the water well grants. Jack said he saw that Priscilla got one and the Historical Society got an email. It was to give grants out to rural populations to dig water wells. It was real, real rural areas.

Tom said on GIS, - did anyone get an invitation for training for our geographic information? Jack said they have been in touch with Joe Laky and they are ready to get the program loaded here. We just have to get some more memory. The county will have a public site where you can do some of these applications. If you have any questions, please give Joe a call.

Tom said someone from Career Link called and asked him to remind municipalities about the summer youth program. If you need work done around the township, call Career Link as they have a list of youth willing to work.

- I. **Citizens Commenting on Non-Agenda Items** – None.
- J. **Events Calendar** – <http://sauconvalleycalendar.blogspot.com> – Priscilla said it's on-line, send her anything you want put on there. If you see something wrong, let her know.
- K. **Adjournment** - Priscilla moved to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Jane. All in favor. The time was 8:48 PM.
- L. **Next Meeting:**
 - Wednesday, June 10th – Saucon Valley School District**
 - NO MEETING IN JULY**
 - Wednesday, August 12th – Lower Saucon Township**