

-
- I. OPENING**
 - A. Call to Order
 - B. Roll Call
 - C. Pledge of Allegiance
 - D. Announcement of Executive Session (if applicable)

 - II. PUBLIC COMMENT PROCEDURE**

 - III. PRESENTATIONS/HEARINGS**
 - A. Public Hearing – Ordinance No. 2013-01 – Additional Regulations for Accessory Uses

 - IV. DEVELOPER ITEMS**

 - V. TOWNSHIP BUSINESS ITEMS**
 - A. Kingston Park and Reading Drive Trailhead Signs
 - B. Kingston Park Pavilion
 - C. Approval of Revisions to Special Events Policy and Application Form
 - D. Bid Results for Lawn Treatment Services
 - E. Request to Advertise Bids for Road Materials
 - F. Resolution #37-2013 - Transfer of Monies from One Township Fund to Another
 - G. Schedule Date for 2013 Volunteer Picnic
 - H. Update on Post Hurricane Sandy Building Upgrades and Traffic Signal Battery Backup System

 - VI. MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS ITEMS**
 - A. Approval of March 6, 2013 and March 20, 2013 Minutes
 - B. Approval of March 2013 Financial Reports

 - VII. PUBLIC COMMENT/CITIZEN NON-AGENDA ITEMS**

 - VIII. COUNCIL & STAFF REPORTS**
 - A. Township Manager
 - B. Council/Jr. Council Member
 - C. Solicitor
 - D. Engineer
 - E. Planner

 - IX. ADJOURNMENT**

Next Planning Commission Meeting: April 25, 2013
Next Council Meeting: May 1, 2013
Next Park & Rec Meeting: May 6, 2013
Saucon Valley Partnership: May 8, 2013 @ LST
Next EAC Meeting: May 14, 2013
Next Zoning Hearing Board Meeting: May 20, 2013
Next Saucon Rail Trail Oversight Commission Meeting: June 24, 2013 at CB

I. OPENING

CALL TO ORDER: The General Business & Developer meeting of Lower Saucon Township Council was called to order on Wednesday, April 17, 2013 at 7:00 P.M., at Lower Saucon Township, 3700 Old Philadelphia Pike, Bethlehem, PA with Mr. Glenn Kern presiding.

ROLL CALL: Present: Glenn Kern, President; Tom Maxfield, Vice President; Dave Willard and Ron Horiszny. Council members; Jack Cahalan, Township Manager; Leslie Huhn, Assistant Manager; Linc Treadwell, Township Solicitor; Dan Miller, Township Engineer; Karen Mallo, Township Planner; and Carolyn Brooks, Jr. Council Member. Absent: Priscilla deLeon.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ANNOUNCEMENT OF ANY EXECUTIVE SESSION (IF APPLICABLE)

| |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mr. Kern said Council did meet in Executive Session just prior to the commencement of this meeting to discuss potential land acquisition and litigation. Attorney Treadwell said that was the Martin property and the Kipp litigation. |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

II. PUBLIC COMMENT/CITIZEN AGENDA ITEMS

Mr. Kern said if you are on the agenda, you have Council and Staff's undivided attention. If you do chose to speak, we ask that you use one of the microphones. We do transcribe the minutes verbatim and want to make sure the transcriptionist gets every word. We ask that you state your name for the record so the transcriptionist knows who is speaking in the minutes.

III. PRESENTATIONS/HEARING

A. PUBLIC HEARING – ORDINANCE NO. 2013-01 – ADDITIONAL REGULATIONS FOR ACCESSORY USES

Mr. Kern said Ordinance No. 2013-01 has been advertised for a public hearing and consideration of adoption to amend Chapter 180, Article XVIII to clarify existing provisions for residential accessory uses.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to open the public hearing.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

Attorney Treadwell said this is an ordinance you have seen before. It's for residential accessory buildings or structures. You currently have a section of your zoning ordinance, Section 131, which regulates these buildings and structures. This is a revision to that and the most important part of the revision is that the sub section D on the second page which discusses what a residential building or accessory structure shall not be, which is a motor vehicle, a mobile storage trailer, a portable storage unit, portable storage structure or cargo boxes designed or once served a commercial shipping or cargo containers, truck bodies, trailers, mobile homes, used fuel tanks. If it's going to be an accessory structure, it has to be what we would normally consider to be a shed. Not someone who has taken the back half of an 18-wheeler and dropped it on their property and called it a residential accessory structure. That's really the main difference here. It still states it cannot occupy more than 25% of the gross rear or side yard area and that it cannot exceed the height of the principal building or 25' or whichever is less except in the RA, R80 and R40 zoning

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

district the maximum height is 25' no matter what the height of the principal building is. It's been advertised. The P/C looked at it at its last meeting and recommended it be adopted, so it's here tonight for a public hearing and to take public comment and for you to act on after you close the public hearing.

Mr. Kern asked for public comment. Mr. Gene Boyer was sworn in. Mr. Boyer said the first thing you read in the description was motor vehicles. What is considered a motor vehicle? He has a motorcycle. Can he put that in the shed or in this device or is it not allowed? Attorney Treadwell said what he said was a motor vehicle cannot be considered to be an accessory building or structure. Mr. Boyer said can he put a motorcycle in this structure? Attorney Treadwell said you can put a motorcycle in what we allow as a residential accessory structure, yes. Mr. Boyer said he may be confusing everyone. He thought it said you couldn't put a motor vehicle in an accessory structure. Attorney Treadwell said you can't put a motor vehicle on your property and call it an accessory structure. Mr. Kern said like an RV. Mr. Boyer said he thought you were talking about what was in the structure. Attorney Treadwell said they don't regulate what you can put in the accessory structure. It's not a zoning issue. Mr. Boyer said okay, he's clear.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to close the hearing.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval to adopt Ordinance No. 2013-01 which is the additional regulations for accessory uses.

SECOND BY: Mr. Willard

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

IV. DEVELOPER ITEMS – None

V. TOWNSHIP BUSINESS ITEMS

A. KINGSTON PARK AND READING DRIVE TRAILHEAD SIGNS

Mr. Kern said we have received a price quote and design specs for two parks signs to be placed at Kingston Park and the Reading Drive Trailhead and are asking Council approval to order.

Mr. Cahalan said we're finishing up the work on Kingston Park. We hope to have the composting toilet finished and then the pavilion installed and then the electrical lighting. That will then be finished. We just started work on the Reading Drive Trailhead site. What we have given you are depictions of what the signs would be, similar to the other park signs we have. The only addition to it would be the strip at the bottom which says "Open Dawn to Dusk", which is what we want to put on all of our park signs. The police officers asked for that as they come upon people who are in the park after dark, which is in violation of our ordinance. The Reading Drive Trailhead will be in a location where it can be seen from both sides so it's double-sided. The Kingston Park side will be up at the end of Limpar Lane, just as you enter Kingston Park, so that's a one-sided sign. That's the difference in the two prices that are quoted in the email. If you are comfortable with those, we'd ask for approval to order those signs. The two-sided sign is \$1,445.00 and the one-sided sign for Kingston Park is \$1,135.00.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Horiszny moved for approval of the park signs for Kingston Park and Reading Drive Trailhead.
- SECOND BY:** Mr. Maxfield
- Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.
- ROLL CALL:** 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

B. KINGSTON PARK PAVILION

Mr. Kern said the Manager would like to discuss with Council a minor change to the previously approved pavilion design for Kingston Park.

Mr. Cahalan said we gave you two photographs of pavilions. One should have a blue roof and the other has a tan roof on it. He'll go over a chart which gives some of the costs. What they are doing is trying to finish up Kingston Park. The pavilion is part of that. They had discussed with Council previously a style of pavilion and that was the Orlando model with the blue roof with a steel roof and posts. It's very functional and looks very nice. That's the model you were comfortable with and we were going forward with the ordering process and working with Cathy and with Roger who we were hoping could erect the model once it was delivered to the site. The cost for the Orlando model is \$13,533.00. We were looking at additional costs to put that up. Those costs would be to put down a concrete pad and originally PW had indicated they could not erect that model and we would have to go and get an outside contractor. We were looking at another \$6,000.00 roughly for the erection. That was \$12,000.00 which was close to the cost of the pavilion, and then there's an additional \$950.00 for the drawings and the plans; \$680.00 for a coating that goes on that model. The cost was increasing on that model. We got to the shipping cost and we looked at the invoice and were shocked to see that it was almost \$5,000.00. We were going to order this from a company in Kennett Square, PA, so he asked if the PW could take a truck down there and pick it up and do it ourselves. We were told that it was actually coming from Arizona and that is why it cost \$5,000.00. We decided to go back and look for a cheaper model. We got in touch with Recreation Resource and we said we wanted something a little cheaper with the shipping. He came up with the suggestion of the Meramec model, and that is the one that has the green roof with the white posts or it has the white roof with the blue posts. That model is almost identical to the Orlando model and its cost is about \$2,000.00 cheaper. The shipping cost is about \$4,000.00 cheaper. It doesn't require any coating and the concrete pad would still be about \$6,000.00. The total cost of that would come out to about \$20,000.00 to put this pavilion up. In the process, PW looked at the drawings again, and the good news is that they can put this pavilion up at the site, so that's saving the money they thought they were going to have to pay to the contractor. It's a \$5,000.00 difference between the two models and the reason he wanted to bring it to you, was not just because it's Kingston Park, but we will probably be looking to put pavilions in Polk Valley Park and Steel City when we do the work up there. This cost for the Orlando with the shipping could mount up and run almost \$18,000.00 to \$20,000.00. He thought he'd bring this model to you before they go any farther.

Mr. Horiszny asked if PW could do the concrete pads for these? Mr. Cahalan said because of the size of the pad, it's just a little bit beyond their expertise. It requires a professional concrete installer. Mr. Horiszny said they wouldn't want it pervious either? Mr. Cahalan said no. Mr. Horiszny asked if there was a figure that showed the overall height of the peak? They look different in the pictures. Mr. Cahalan said the only difference they mentioned is the Meramec is a 20x30 size, so that's 4' shorter on one of the lengths than the Orlando was. It's not that critical. The Orlando has a 7'2" eave height. The Meramec does not have a height of the eave on the invoice. They can get that number for you. Mr. Maxfield said the roof pitch on the two look different. The Meramec looks flatter. He likes that it has four pillars instead of six.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

Ms. Louder said she didn't hear what was being said. Mr. Kern said one question was the pitch of the roof, the height of them as the one looks shorter.

Mr. Cahalan said he gave you the green roof Meramec and the blue roof Meramec and he sees on the one that the white roof with blue posts has six columns. The one that has the green roof which is the one that came from Valerie has four posts. They are both identified as Meramec series. Mr. Maxfield said when you say white-blue, green-white, are those standard set combinations? Mr. Cahalan said the colors they would suggest would be the green roof and what they call clay color on the posts. That would be matched with everything at the park. Mr. Maxfield said that sounds good. Mr. Kern said since it's basically the same, but a lot cheaper, he'd say go for the cheaper. Mr. Cahalan said if that's the case, he is hearing you'd prefer the one with the four posts or the six posts? He asked Ms. Mallo about the pictures and she said she only has the picture that Valerie sent. The Orlando and the Meramec has six posts. Mr. Cahalan said the one that Val sent looks smaller. Ms. Mallo said the way the number of posts is determined is based on the length, so it's 24' or every 36'. Mr. Cahalan said this model with the white roof and blue posts; it's what the representative gave us. That's the quoted one. The other one he printed off something that Valerie sent up and he's noticing it's four posts rather than six. Ms. Mallo said it looks squarer and looks like you'll be getting something closer to this one. Mr. Cahalan said he cut and paste this one, so maybe that's why it looks a little flatter. Mr. Maxfield said it looks flatter, but it also looks wider compared to the table size. Mr. Cahalan said if you are comfortable with the white one with blue posts that we sent to you in the packet, then it would be a green roof with the clay posts and the cost would be what is listed on the chart which is \$11,035.00. The total cost with the shipping and concrete costs would be \$20,009.00.

Mr. Maxfield said the pitch of the roof, which he's sure it won't matter in Kingston Park, but if you get to Polk Valley Park at the top and you have wind and things like that, you want to make sure that pitch is going to be okay. Mr. Cahalan said they will look at that as they are going to Polk Valley Park for the May 6th P&R meeting and they want to invite Council members. They are going to walk around with the Planner and they will put up some balloons so we can see the height. Ms. Mallo said you may want to note that on the front of the quote for Meramec, it says 90 MPH, so she's assuming it's the wind gust it can withstand.

Mr. Kern said you need a motion for the change to the Meramec? Mr. Cahalan said yes, and then they'll proceed with the ordering of that model.

MOTION BY: Mr. Willard moved for approval to change the pavilion design to the Meramec white roof with blue pillars as shown in the packet.

Mr. Kern said the end result will be a green roof with clay posts. Mr. Willard said he wants to strike the description from his motion.

MOTION BY: Mr. Willard moved for approval to change the pavilion design to the Meramec with a green roof and clay color posts.

SECOND BY: Mr. Maxfield

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

C. APPROVAL OF REVISION TO SPECIAL EVENTS POLICY & APPLICATION FORM

Mr. Kern said at Council's request, minor modifications have been made to the Special Events Policy and Application form.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

Mr. Cahalan said this came up at a previous meeting and one of the Council members asked us to display the information about the fees and security deposits required on the application. It had been covered in the policy which was on-line. This actually puts it in the Special Events application form on page 2. At the bottom we have the fee, security deposit, insurance required and that spells out very clearly what's required if someone is filling out this application. Additionally, we went and looked at the Special Events Policy and we made some minor changes in language but one of the things we had in the policy when it was previously adopted as under Development was a release and waiver form. We worked with the Solicitor and came up with an Indemnity and Hold Harmless Agreement. That will become part of the application process and that will have to be executed by the individual as part of the application process for a special event. We've done everything that was requested and the policy is up-to-date, and it's before you for approval.

Mr. Willard said on the application form, line 3 we ask for the name of the Chief Officer of the organization, and then Line 4 the applicant or event coordinator and address, phone number, and particulars. Is there a place where the event coordinator's name is shown? It's probably not the Chief Officer of the organization. Mr. Cahalan said you're right. They will correct that and will put Applicant Event Coordinator Name.

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of the revisions to the Special Events Policy and Application Form.
- SECOND BY:** Mr. Horiszny
- Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.
- ROLL CALL:** 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

D. BID RESULTS FOR LAWN TREATMENT SERVICES

Mr. Kern said a bid opening for lawn treatment services for the period of March 2013 to December 2013 was held on Monday, April 8, 2013 at 11:00 am. The Manager will recommend to Council the lowest bidder.

Mr. Cahalan said the last time we brought these to you, we asked you to reject the bids because it was above the amount that was budgeted for the lawn treatment services and it actually turned out very favorable. The last time, back in March, the low bid was \$37,534.00. We rejected all of those bids and were advertised and held another bid opening on April 8, 2013. We came up with a low bid of \$13,675.74. It is a good bid. It's been reviewed by everyone and we are recommending that this bid be awarded to a company called Lawn Specialties, 100 North Conahan Drive, Hazleton, PA. They are the low bidder for lawn treatment services for 2013.

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of Lawn Specialties for lawn services in 2013 in the amount of \$13,675.74.
- SECOND BY:** Mr. Willard
- Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.
- ROLL CALL:** 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

E. REQUEST TO ADVERTISE BIDS FOR ROAD MATERIALS

Mr. Kern said staff would like permission to advertise the bid for the summer road materials for summer work projects. Mr. Cahalan said that's all the information we have, so we're just requesting approval.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

MOTION BY: Mr. Horiszny moved for approval to advertise bids for road materials.

SECOND BY: Mr. Maxfield

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

F. RESOLUTION #37-2013 – TRANSFER OF MONIES FROM ONE TOWNSHIP FUND TO ANOTHER

Mr. Kern said Resolution #37-2013 has been prepared for the transfer of money.

Mr. Cahalan said there's a memo from the Finance Director. This transfer is being done as it primarily deals with construction projects at Kingston Park and a repair project at the Heller Homestead and a minor carry over for the maintenance of the Old Mill Bridge which we were just at. The first two are not completed in 2012, so the money had to be carried over to 2013. The contingency for the Old Mill Bridge, PW had to spend a couple dollars over what was budgeted in that account.

MOTION BY: Mr. Horiszny moved for approval of Resolution #37-2013.

SECOND BY: Mr. Maxfield

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. Mr. Maxfield said can you remind him what the construction to the Heller Homestead was? Mr. Cahalan said the painting of the windows. Ms. Louder said can you tell her how much and where it's coming from and where it's going? Mr. Cahalan said it's coming from Contingencies and going into accounts. The one fund balance is \$98,000.00 going into Construction, which is for Kingston Park. That's money that was budgeted in last year's budget for Kingston Park. We did not complete Kingston Park. Ms. Louder said the contingency fund that it's coming out of is allocated to which? Mr. Cahalan said everything goes back into the contingency basically at the end of the year. Ms. Louder said it's 2012 contingency budget? Mr. Cahalan said no, it's coming out of the 2013 budget which we're under right now. Mr. Kern said it was money allocated in 2012 which wasn't spent and is moving over to 2013 to be spent. Ms. Louder said it went from 2012 into the 2013 contingency fund. Mr. Cahalan said at the end of the year if it's not spent, it goes into the ending balance. The ending balance, we use that to start the budget for the next year, which is 2013. Then we try to figure out how much we are going to need in all the various accounts. That money gets moved into it. When we did that, we assumed that Kingston Park projects would be completed by then. She didn't put any money into Kingston Park construction for 2013. This will be moving money in there. Ms. Louder said the contingency fund itself is attached to what line? Is it the specials? Mr. Cahalan said no, it's the contingency in the General Fund. Ms. Louder said that's what she was thinking.

Mr. Boyer said this Kingston fund, he pulled up what was the final budget report for 2013 and it showed that last year there was construction, about \$140,000.00 for the Kingston Park. That money was not spent? Mr. Cahalan said it was not all spent. There was some construction that was done, but the main construction was the purchase of the composting toilet, the pavilion which we're still discussing now, and the lighting. Those were not done in 2012. At the end of the year, that money that was budgeted went into the contingency. The ending balance went into the contingency, now she's moving it into construction again under Kingston Park to pay for the toilet, the pavilion and for the lighting. Mr. Boyer said the contingency fund for Kingston Park in 2012, which showed \$70,000.00 was carried forward according to the budget; the \$70,000.00 beginning in Kingston Park for 2013. Mr. Cahalan said that's not in construction. In order for us to spend this money, per Council's direction, it has to be in a specific line item. It has to be in 45.452601 construction in order for us to spend any money after Council approves it. It has to be in a special line item for that park. Mr. Boyer said the \$70,000.00 would still be there and you are moving \$98,000.00. Mr. Cahalan said he's not sure. He doesn't have the budget in front of him, and he

doesn't have the Director of Finance here. He's basically saying that this is transferring money that wasn't spent last year and it's going into the Kingston Park account this year in order to pay for these items that we discussed.

Mr. Boyer said what is going to be the actual cost you approved earlier for the pavilion? Mr. Cahalan said that was read out in the motion. Mr. Boyer said he wrote down \$20,000.00 but he's not sure that's right. Mr. Cahalan said \$20,009.00. Mr. Boyer said what else is not done that needs \$98,000.00 into the construction? Mr. Cahalan said that is a rough figure. A budget is an amount of money that we're estimating will cover us for any item that we're planning to do plus any contingency. \$98,000.00 doesn't mean we are going to spend \$98,000.00 at Kingston Park. It's our rough estimate that we've done to cover the items that we know we have to complete at that park, the composting toilet and the lighting and the sign. Once those are done, there will probably be a balance left in the \$98,000.00 and that will be available to be moved to the other park fund. That's what we've been doing in all of the park funds. If there's money left over, it's used in another park.

Mr. Boyer said he remembers in earlier meetings, the composting toilets are about \$60,000.00. Mr. Cahalan said that cost went up from the original because it went from the Bio-Sun model to a Modern Concrete, now Old Castle has purchased it and it increased it. We got a better product as it's solid concrete. It did increase the cost. Mr. Boyer said he understands exactly what you said. There's \$98,000.00 coming from the General Fund Contingency into the Kingston Park Fund. Mr. Cahalan said yes, coming from the Contingency into Kingston Park Fund. Mr. Boyer said from the operating contingency to the Kingston fund. The money that's supposedly shown in the budget has an existing balance starting this year, is that still in the Kingston Park fund? If you look at the budget, each park had a beginning balance for 2013. Whatever is in 2013 for the Kingston Park, which was \$70,000.00, is that still in the Kingston Park and we're adding the \$98,000.00 to the construction line? Mr. Cahalan said we wouldn't move anything from one account to another unless we did what we just did here and that was to request to transfer funds. If it's in the budget, it would be there. There's only two ways it's going to go out. It's going to be spent or it's going to be transferred. If it's going to get spent, it's going to be approved here. If it gets transferred, it gets approved here. Mr. Boyer said \$98,000.00 plus whatever was in the budget for Kingston Park beginning 2013 would be the balance in the fund? Mr. Cahalan said he would say, and that's going to be depleted by the expenditures for the pavilion, the composting toilet and lighting, sign and whatever else. Mr. Boyer said what's the estimate of the lighting going to be? Mr. Cahalan said it was at one of the previous meetings, and he doesn't have a figure in front of him. It was brought to Council and was discussed. They approved a lamp post with a luminaire and it's four bollards along the pathway.

Ms. Louder said can you tell her how much the grant money is the compost center? How much has been awarded? Mr. Cahalan said it was about \$97,000.00 to \$100,000.00. Ms. Louder said that was to cover all the parks who are getting composting toilets. Mr. Cahalan said three composting toilets.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

G. SCHEDULE DATE FOR 2013 VOLUNTEER PICNIC

Mr. Kern said the Manager would like to discuss with Council the date for the 5th annual volunteer picnic.

Mr. Cahalan said Diane gave you a list of June dates. There are four Monday's, the 3rd, 10th, 17th and 24th. The only one that has no meetings scheduled is June 10th. Mr. Horiszny said that would be good for him unless you want to schedule it on a meeting date so those people would come. Mr.

Maxfield said he'd really like to get a lot to come. Can we do it on June 10th? Mr. Cahalan said okay, it's June 10th, and they'll have Diane work on that and send out the information.

H. UPDATE ON POST HURRICANE SANDY BUILDING UPGRADES AND TRAFFIC SIGNAL BATTERY BACKUP SYSTEM

Mr. Kern said the Manager would like to update Council on post Hurricane Sandy building maintenance upgrades and the traffic battery backup system.

Mr. Cahalan said back in October, they had the Hurricane Sandy and had several problems that we encountered with the outages – both out on the streets with the traffic lights and here at the Township buildings. At PW, we had our gas pumps go down because there was no power and over here in the Administration building, the Police wing had some backup power, but the administrative wing didn't, so we stood around here in the dark for a week trying to get on the Internet and answering phones. Just to review and update, the battery backup system for traffic systems, we did submit a grant to Northampton County Gaming Authority for funds to install the units on six traffic signals. They were awarded \$53,430.00 by the Gaming Authority in June 2012. At the time of Hurricane Sandy, they were still working on getting the specifications together on this and they accelerated this after the outages because we didn't want a repeat of that. We did get a bid package together with the help of the Township Engineer and working with PennDOT getting the various drawings. That was put out and we did get four bids. The lowest was \$38,265.00. The contractor has completed installing the traffic signals at Puggy Lane and Route 378, Seidersville Road and Route 378, Saucon Square and Route 378, Black River Road and Route 378, Bingen and Hickory Hill Road intersection, and the Giant Plaza and Route 412 intersection. The equipment that was installed includes batteries which will allow the system to run during a short term outage. It also allows us to hook up generators which we will be purchasing with the grant money. Those will be taken out to the signals by PW if there is an outage and that will supply continuous power until the electric service is restored. While we were doing it, we also upgraded the signal lights to LEDs. That project has been completed and we posted information about it on the Township website.

Mr. Cahalan said the second project was the PW generator. We had discussed this previously with Council. We had a 50 kilowatt generator that was donated to the Township by Se-Wy-Co Fire Company and we had it at PW. We knew after Hurricane Sandy storm, we needed to accelerate installing that. We had come previously to Council and gave you a quote of \$15,697.00 to connect. Roger went and got additional quotes from electrical contractors and he was able to get a lower quote from West Side Hammer for \$12,100.00. Based on your approval of \$15,697.00, we did go ahead with the lower quote of \$12,100.00. That work is being done and should be completed sometime this week or next week. That means the generator will be up and the next time there's a power outage, the gas pumps will be working so that police, fire and rescue can come over and refuel.

Mr. Cahalan said the last item was the Town Hall generator. We discovered with the outage it was only running the police side of the building. We asked West Side Hammer to look what it would take to connect that generator to this side of the building in the event of an outage and they gave us a quote of \$7,400.00. That will give us 27 receptacles in here and backup power so we can run the internet, run other equipment, we can have lights and heat. We can have a full staff here and they can be working during the outage. In order to proceed with that, he would need approval from Council for the \$7,400.00 quote from West Side Hammer.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of \$7,400.00 quote from West Side Hammer.
SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny
Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. Mr. Willard said we have a press release in the packet? Mr. Cahalan said yes, that's on the website.
ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

VI. MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS ITEMS

A. APPROVAL OF MARCH 6, 2013 AND MARCH 20, 2013 MINUTES

Mr. Kern said the draft minutes of the March 6, 2013 and March 20, 2013 Council meetings have been prepared and are ready for Council's review and approval.

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of the March 6, 2013 minutes, with previous corrections noted.
SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny
Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.
ROLL CALL: 3-1 (Mr. Horiszny – No; Mrs. deLeon – Absent))

- MOTION BY:** Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of the March 20, 2013 minutes.
SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny
Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.
ROLL CALL: 2-0 (Mr. Horiszny – No; Mr. Willard – Abstain; Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

Ms. Huhn said motion fails.

Attorney Treadwell said we had this at the last meeting. This is a good point to bring this issue up. At this Council, we have operated a little differently in the past on which motions require an approval of the majority of the Council present versus which motions require an approval of the Council as a whole. What he would suggest because the Second Class Township Code, Roberts Rules and your own LST Administrative Code are a little different on the subject, we need to clarify that so there's a clear Council policy going forward as to which motions require a majority of the people present to pass and which motions require a majority of the entire Council to pass. He would think the best place to put that would be into the Public Meeting Policy that also talked about public comment provision. This has come up on more than one occasion. Your own Administrative Code says you need a majority of the entire Council, which would be three votes to pass a written resolution or to pass an ordinance which makes sense. Any resolution in writing would need three votes. The Second Class Township Code says you need a majority of the entire Council to conduct business, but it doesn't define what conducting business is. Roberts Rule says you need a majority of the people present to pass a motion, so they are all a little different. It would make sense for this Council to set a policy going forward, to decide in a case like the minutes, do you need three votes to pass and adopt the minutes or do you only need a majority of three people present. In this instance, neither one of them would work as you only have two yeses, one no and one abstention. We need to clarify the policy going forward. We were just at the Old Mill Bridge and if you were to make a motion to paint the Old Mill Bridge, do you need three yeses? What if there are three people here, are two yeses okay? Would you need three? That's not clear based on the three documents he just discussed. These minutes will need to be pushed over, but it would be a good time for you as Council members to decide which ones really require a majority of everybody versus which ones require a majority of the people present. Mr. Willard said they were told in newly elected officials training that you should almost never abstain. It's only recently that it came to his attention that it's probably best to abstain on approving minutes of a meeting you didn't attend. That's the basis for his abstention. Attorney Treadwell said he understands that, but it's an issue that's been around for a long time in LST because of those

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

different interpretations and the different wording of the three things he discussed and we've never really clarified it and he thinks you probably should. Mr. Horiszny said he certainly agrees with that and we need to change what our minutes are which we said we were going to do this year and he'll change his vote today so he doesn't have to read them again. Mr. Kern said let's revote on the March 20, 2013 minutes.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of the March 20, 2013 minutes.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 3-0 (Mr. Willard – Abstain; Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

Mr. Willard said he knows the staff is working on some different approaches to meeting minutes that meet the legal requirements. He'd have to say that as nitpicking as he's been on some of his comments and suggestions, he's almost at the point that he can't read the entire thing before they are asked to vote. He hopes we'll be able to take a look at that pretty soon.

B. APPROVAL OF MARCH 2013 FINANCIAL REPORTS

Mr. Maxfield said the March 2013 financial reports have been prepared and are ready for Council's review and approval.

Mr. Willard said he didn't do a reconciliation item by item, but it appears that almost every fund balance is greater on March 31st than February 28th, so he wondered if this was reflective of tax collection. Mr. Cahalan said yes, it generally is. Mr. Horiszny said the Kingston Park fund is listed at \$168,807.56.

MOTION BY: Mr. Horiszny moved for approval of the March 2013 financial reports.

SECOND BY: Mr. Willard

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any comments. No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

VII. PUBLIC COMMENT/CITIZEN NON-AGENDA ITEMS

- Gene Boyer, resident, said he has a question in reference to the budget that's on-line. Is it accurate or is it not? Mr. Cahalan said the budget on line is the budget adopted by Council last November or December 2012. Mr. Boyer said it says 2013 and from the last most extreme column to the left says Final, that would be an accurate figure for the budget. Mr. Cahalan said when you say accurate, that's the figure that was in the budget that Council adopted on that date in November or December. Mr. Boyer said is it to say that those numbers if there's money in the special funds as a beginning balance, that money is available at the beginning of 2013 for that special fund? Mr. Cahalan said if you are talking about the 2013 budget going into 2013, that should reflect the balance in those funds, yes. Mr. Boyer said he double checked and he looked at the computer in the back to verify, but there at the beginning of 2013, beginning balance for Kingston Park had \$70,134.00. That would be an accurate figure that would be in the account or in that special fund? Mr. Cahalan said if that's what's in the budget. Mr. Boyer said we added tonight, \$98,000.00 to that figure for the future work that's being done in Kingston Park? Mr. Cahalan said would you look up what the contingency number is for Kingston Park and read it out loud. Ms. Huhn said the account number – 45.493.000. Mr. Cahalan said would you look at what the contingency account number is for the general fund. Ms. Huhn said wasn't in the resolution? Mr. Cahalan said yes, he just wanted to check it. The \$10,000.00 came out of the Kingston Park contingency fund. He just wants to be accurate in replying to Mr. Boyer. The \$98,000.00 came out of the general fund contingency. The \$10,000.00 came out of the contingency for Kingston Park and that's going into the construction account in Kingston Park.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

- Ms. Donna Louder, resident, said when she was sitting here and you were going over Township Business Item No. C, everything was referred to as “that”. Can someone tell her what “that” is? It says approval of revision to special events policy and application form. Everything you talked about was “that, that, that”. Everybody was looking at a paper, but no one out here had any idea what you were talking about as nobody knows what “that” is. Mr. Cahalan said “that” is the special event policy and the special application form. Ms. Louder asked what the revisions were? You voted on revisions. Mr. Cahalan said the revisions were that we added to the application form a box at the bottom of the form that indicated what the required fees and security deposits and insurance were that are required by the special events policy. Ms. Louder said what are the required fees, is there a number? Mr. Cahalan said \$25.00 and \$250.00 and then it lists the various insurances for the organizations. The other change was we added an attachment called the “Indemnity and Hold Harmless Agreement” to the event application form. Ms. Louder said it’s just frustrating when you are voting on something and we’re sitting back here and it’s referred to as “that” and you can all see what you are talking about, but we have no clue when you say “that”. What is “that”?

VIII. COUNCIL & STAFF REPORTS

A. TOWNSHIP MANAGER

- Mr. Cahalan said he has Parks & Rec Board recommendations on two events. One is a Boy Scout Troop 319 campout at the Heller Homestead Park on April 26th to the 28th. The second event is the SV Lacrosse Lax day at Polk Valley Park on May 4, 2013. The Parks & Rec Board reviewed the special event application and had no problems. These two organizations have held these events before. The only recommendation came from the Fire Marshal for the Lacrosse organization about handling of any food preparation type of devices and was passed along to them. The Parks & Rec Board is recommending approval on these two events.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of both of P&R’s recommendations.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

- Mr. Cahalan said he attended the meeting of the Bethlehem Area Public Library Board of Trustees on April 1st along with Tom Maxfield and Ron Horiszny. They provided the Trustees with an overview on the subject of splitting of library payments. Council authorized him to send a letter to them asking them to put it on one of their agendas. After their presentation, it was well received. They agreed to form a committee to discuss it further with LST. Mr. Maxfield said he saw it reported in a couple of newspaper that we asked them to split the money, but what we asked them was to consider the concept which was the actual request and then they decided to form a committee. Mr. Cahalan asked if Council had any further direction on the feasibility study report? Mr. Horiszny said maybe have another committee to investigate options. Mr. Cahalan said this discussion with the BAPL could take several weeks or several months and the answer could come back with a no, which it was before. Just asking Council if they want to act on the recommendations for consolidation that were made by the Library Task Force. Mr. Maxfield said considering how long the process may take, he’d like to almost be ready whichever way it goes, so he’d like to see us do something to begin to look into, not just the feasibility as we already did that, but the actual process that would be required to do it if that’s what we end up having to do. Mr. Horiszny said would it be good to have a committee with Hellertown to discuss possible consolidation of library services with them so if we get a no from Bethlehem, we do have an option? Mr. Cahalan said the idea would be to discuss it with the recommendations and the results of the Task Force report and the representatives from

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

the HAL and the Hellertown Borough and look at that report and come back to the Council at some point later this year with some recommendations. Mr. Maxfield said and some possible paths to go. Mr. Horiszny asked if we needed a motion? Mr. Cahalan said we had a motion about the splitting of the payments, so he'd feel comfortable if you made a motion to direct him to contact the Borough Manager and the Library Director and see if we can set up meetings with the various staff to discuss these issues. Mr. Maxfield said would you visualize those members being the same who would talk to BAPL to discuss so it would be an overall committee who has a handle of what's going on.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to direct the Manager to form a committee per the description above.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if there was anyone in the audience with any comment? No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

- Mr. Cahalan said he received a letter of resignation from Ted Griggs from the ZHB effective immediately. With Council's approval, he'll send Ted a letter of thanks for his service and will post the vacancy on the website.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval to send Ted Griggs a letter of thanks for his service

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

- Mr. Cahalan said the Public Works Director was out on Silver Creek Road yesterday and he alerted the Zoning Officer that there were multiple trees along that road that looked like they were marked for removal by PPL and their tree contractor and Asplundh. The Zoning Officer went out and spoke to the representatives from PPL and Asplundh and they indicated that the trees needed to be removed to install a new line along the route. This is in the area going towards the Lower Saucon Road end of it as it goes along the Hellertown Borough Authority properties. There should be an aerial map in your packet. It shows the area of the removal of trees for PPL. He's going to read an email that Chris sent and he said PPL's contractor, Asplundh, began a project to remove trees on Silver Creek Road as part of a PPL project. PPL had obtained a right-of-way permit in 2011 and at the time described the project as using existing facilities to run the line, which he understood to mean they were trimming trees on the existing line. They seem to think that they represented it as a project and a new line which all vegetation would be cleared. When Roger and Chris saw the scope of the work, which included numerous trees that were marked to be removed, they contacted them and had them cease work. Roger and Chris feel the tree removal is quite excessive and would like to have a meeting with PPL to discuss the situation. Chris asked for them to meet with us either Friday, April 19th or Monday, April 22nd on site to review the situation. He indicates the authority that PPL has which we will talk to the Solicitor about. If any of the Council members would like to join us, the meeting will be Monday, April 22nd at 2:15 pm out at Silver Creek Road. He'd invite you to go out on Silver Creek Road sometime before Monday and see the number of trees they have marked and where they are located. Mr. Maxfield said we talked about this once before and he thinks he suggested it once before, but didn't act or thought about it seriously. The City of Bethlehem has a policy and nothing gets removed on their roadsides without the approval of Bethlehem administration. He thinks we need to have the similar kind of thing and this is tantamount to rape to just come into an area and decimate it. In the long run, he'd like us to start working on some type of policy. We talked about that we may want to make Silver Creek a scenic route going through there, and now right through the middle of the riparian corridor, right where the creek is, right where the most critical area is they want to cut down all the trees. Mr. Horiszny said when we've had huge runoff

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

problems in the past. Mr. Maxfield said they are out in force in the Lehigh Valley and doing some major butchering. We can't in good conscience and let that happen to Lower Saucon Township. Mr. Kern said could you imagine if they do to Silver Creek Road what they did to Route 378? The scenic byway will be no longer. Mr. Maxfield said he would like recommendations from Staff on what Bethlehem does so that when we sit down and talk to them, we have nothing to throw back at them. Mr. Cahalan said they can look into that.

- Mr. Cahalan said the painting of the root cellar at the Heller Homestead and the caulking around the windows has been completed by the contractor, Walt Simmons. He was authorized by Council a payment of \$1,300.00 to do that. One of the things that were also put on the list was for him to open the windows in the house as it was felt he painted them shut. PW was out there last week and they were able to open all the windows on the ground floor of the building. The upper windows have never been opened and it's difficult for them to determine whether this painter, Walt Simmons, or the previous painter, Sobrinski, painted those windows shut. He's in a dilemma. Mr. Simmons completed the work he was asked to do satisfactorily and he would like to pay him the \$1,300.00 we owe him and he just wants to know if he can proceed. They will try to resolve this window issue, but he doesn't think he can do it using this contractor. He denies he painted them shut.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval to pay Walt Simmons the amount of \$1,300.00.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any questions or comments? No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

- Mr. Cahalan said you'll remember the barn wood that we collected from a couple of barns and houses and it was in the trailer behind PW for several years and we've been paying rent on a trailer to store it. We went back and saw that we told Council we were going to put that up for public auction and apparently we have not done that, so we'd like permission to advertise the sale of the barn wood at a public auction which would comply with the Second Class Township code. He would need approval for that.

MOTION BY: Mr. Horiszny moved for approval to advertise the sale of the barn wood at a public auction.

SECOND BY: Mr. Maxfield

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any questions or comments? No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

B. COUNCIL

Jr. Council – No report

Mr. Horiszny

- He said LS Historical Society had a road cleanup on Countryside Lane on Saturday morning. They got eight bags of trash, five tires, a couple of couches and chairs and he participated and during that process thought he'd ask Priscilla when she went to PSATS to suggest that PSATS have every State Legislator be required to go on a cleanup at least once a year and that maybe by reaching through their arms, legs and backs, they would realize the need for a deposit law in the state.
- He said LS Authority did not meet last night as they had absences and a small business amount.
- He said at the Citizens Academy, it came up that Dog Parks are an extremely good part of our parks and he was wondering if we could ask the P&R board to consider putting maybe

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

one or two more in at other parks. Maybe we can consider that or expand the one we have. They got almost as good remarks as the Saucon Rail Trail.

Mr. Kern

- He said he can't make the meeting for April 22nd. He was hoping another Council member could attend that meeting with PPL. Mr. Maxfield said he'll be there.

Mrs. deLeon – Absent

Mr. Maxfield

- He said there is a tree tender workshop and for more information, contact the Township. It's open to the public and probably a real good thing as we had so much damage in the recent storms. The cost is \$25.00 if a member of the EAC wants to attend.

MOTION BY: Mr. Horiszny moved for approval of \$25.00 if any EAC member wants to attend the Tree Tender Workshop.

SECOND BY: Mr. Willard

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mrs. deLeon – Absent)

Mr. Willard

- He said they had an evaluation of the Citizens Academy and they had 13 graduates, two who are sitting in the audience. He thanked Council for supporting this idea and then for everyone who presented at the Academy for their time and expertise. The evaluations were generally positive. We also had a statement at the last session thanking the Township for having the Citizens Academy. We have a format and materials that's been tested and seems to be successful and could be adapted to other situations. One comment was let people attend individual sessions for items that they are interested in and it could also be given at the high school. Going forward, we can think of things we can do with the material. It could even put on-line. The general evaluation was excellent and people appreciated hearing from the responsible parties in their areas of expertise.
- He said he was appointed to the Northampton County Gaming Authority and there is an issue with the April 22nd meeting. He will not be in attendance and it was indicated that voting would not take place until the May meeting, but there is a voting schedule for April 22nd. Proxy voting is not allowed and they are checking to see if he's allowed to vote by telephone remotely on behalf to the Township. Today he received the scoring from all the scoring from the members and he's sending it to Cathy Gorman who has attended all these meetings, and Jack and our Council President. You can see how their projects and the others were evaluated. He hopes this can be resolved where we can have representation. He saw one of the other members immediately wrote back that they would not be in attendance. He thinks our projects were favorably evaluated, and may take care of itself.
- He said he received a proposal for a newsletter redesign from a professional to refresh our newsletter. He's had this since March 31st. He will schedule a meeting with Leslie and Diane. He'll then bring the proposal to Council.

C. SOLICITOR – No report

D. ENGINEER

Mr. Miller said they went out to Black River Road and they looked at the drainage near Fire Lane and it is too flat to do anything with a swale or under drain. There is going to be someone looking at the storm sewer. That is a very large ticket project. The preliminary plan discussed at the staff meeting was we're just going to have an aerial of the area from Fire Lane to where we would be connecting and will have residents come in and identify whether they have any drainage problems

on their frontage and that'll give an idea whether it's just the two residents that have expressed concern or more of a widespread concern we'd be addressing.

Mr. Cahalan said Chris Garges had gone out as Mr. Griggs had indicated that after we had discussed Hanover's proposal about piping the water to Black River, the problem was caused by a property up on Fire Lane. Chris did send Council members an email indicating he had gone out to that residence, 3696 Fire Lane, and he had taken some photographs and interviewed the owner. There was a driveway that was put in so that the property owner could get in and the activity up there was the owner cleared the trees that had fallen during Hurricane Sandy. That's what he was using the driveway for. He was told that had to be removed and he said he would do that. Chris concluded after looking at the property that there was no evidence of wholesale tree removal other than removing storm damage. There was no evidence of earthwork or diversions which would cause the discharge of additional storm water. We did investigate this. Ron had offered the idea about taking the water down from Fire Lane to Wydnor Lane and that's what Dan was referring to that it wasn't going to solve the problem. It would just move it down from one street to the next. The focus will be on Hanover coming back with a feasibility study as indicated by Dan at some time. Mr. Miller said just having the aerial photo and the meeting; they could do that any day. As far as doing the feasibility study, he was under the impression we were waiting until we saw how many concerned residents there were. Mr. Cahalan said we discussed it and said at the staff meeting we want to do the feasibility study before we invite the public. We need Council's blessing on the feasibility study before we share that. Attorney Treadwell asked how extensive the feasibility study was. Mr. Miller said it's going to require doing the one calls that are going out, looking at the one calls, taking an idea of where they believe there are utilities so they have some idea. It's going to be several thousand dollars, that's why he thought we were postponing it. Attorney Treadwell said he thought when we discussed it, the way it ended up was we were going to have a meeting and see where the concerns were before we spent the money. Mr. Miller said we cancelled the one call as they thought they were supposed to delay it. Ms. Mallo said there was discussion and she mentioned sticking on the little buttons on the site plan that he was going to come up with. Mr. Miller said he thought you'd want to know the scope before spending the money on putting the feasibility study together. Attorney Treadwell said the staff action items say a information planning meeting with the residents and no plans and no study. That was the end. Come in with an aerial and gather as much information before they do the study.

Mr. Horiszny said it's pretty flat from Fire Lane down to Wydnor? Mr. Miller said yes. It's very close to the same elevation. Mr. Horiszny said making it a downhill slope wouldn't work? Mr. Miller said you'd have a deeper pond. Mr. Horiszny said not if it went downhill. Mr. Miller said every swale you want to have a half percent slope, so he forgets how far it is, maybe 100'. You'd want to have a foot and a half to two foot difference. Just to get it to the road, you'd look at having about a two foot deep trench and you couldn't dig that through the road so you'd put a culvert through there. It would go a long way before you could ever day light it. It's probably without being able to discharge the other side of the street, it's going to be a long haul to get it day lighted.

Mr. Maxfield said earlier when we talked about this problem, he mentioned he wanted to get more of an overall view of what's going on there. He likes the idea of people coming in that are concerned, but he really just wants somebody to get out there who knows what's going on. Next time it rains like crazy get out and see where the water is coming from. The onsite knowledge of what's going on there is going to be the best thing of all.

Mr. Kern said when he did that, there were definitely seeps coming about a quarter of the way up the road from the woods, and then seeps coming out down the gutter. Up further there was nothing. It was a quarter way up the road he noticed this. He doesn't know if it's been there all along. Mr. Maxfield said if we see those, we can identify them and address it. Without getting on there when it's raining, and things are flowing, we could get a good view of what's going on. He

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

hopes someone does that. Mr. Cahalan said they've gone up there in our investigation and identified where those locations are and they are springs. They are overflowing.

Mr. Maxfield said things change when the water table rises up high and then it begins to sift down low. Certain seeps will pop out that weren't there before and certain things will begin to flow that were minimal before. Those are the kinds of things, the conditions are obviously changing from a light rain to a heavy rain to a water table rising for a few days. Those kind of things, he wants us to get an overall idea of what happens and what people can expect depending how ferocious the rain is. Mr. Miller said even the very small flows cause the winter icing and he thought that was one of the main reasons they were looking into this. Whether it's a spring, whether it's normal runoff from a storm event, it's still going to flow into this flat area, not drain quick enough and freeze. It's still a concern of there's a very large expensive time-consuming project that could be done to address it. Is it worth the time, money, effort to do it? Are there other solutions that are more feasible like going across the street. They've been told that's not on the table. It's more of a question of what's the net benefit. We have an idea of what the ballpark figure on the cost is going to be. Compare the benefit to the cost and make a decision before we get down to is it going to be \$200,000.00 or is it going to be \$400,000.00. It's still going to be multiple hundreds of thousands of dollars. Mr. Maxfield said we have to come up with something. He doesn't think we can just let it sit. A flooded basement is one thing, but a bus stop is something else. He doesn't want to mess around with people's safety.

Mr. Horiszny asked if it was feasible to put in a dry well in that corner to let the water go down instead of freeze at the top. Would that kind of thing work? Mr. Miller said probably not with a spring. You probably hope that you don't drain that quickly next to your road. If you have a constant flow, a dry well is only going to work is only going to work if it drains quicker than what flows into it. Mr. Horiszny said take the pump out and put underground. Mr. Miller said if you want to make the trench the whole length of the road and put a pipe underneath the road and go back with aggregate again, it's essentially the same, it's probably the same price if you wanted to do a culvert. It's nice that it is continuously draining the surface water, though it seems the main concern is up at Fire Lane. Mr. Horiszny said that's where he meant, at the NW corner of Fire Lane by Black River Road. We can put a well in there so it goes downhill instead of puddling at the top and it all goes into the ground and sits there and freezes instead of the surface of the road. Mr. Miller said you'd want to have a way of draining it laterally. Otherwise you are just relying upon the absorption of water downward to exceed the water that's coming in and when we talk about the percolation of things, a really fast percolation rate is a foot an hour. When we talk about flow rates, we're talking about cubic feet per second, so we're talking about large, large differences in rates. Like a factor of 3,000 difference. Mr. Maxfield said if the water table rises in a lower area like that, the dry well is going to drain too. We had that problem before too.

Mr. Gordon Gress said Ted Griggs who is affected by this isn't here tonight. He said the other day he had two more people to come and see him who were really having problems and he's noticed there was a plumber and some excavation done down at the corner of Black River and Old Philly. They put in a huge sump pump as they were having problems like the rest of us. The white house down at the corner had some work done today. It is becoming more widespread. He has to respect Tom Maxfield. You have to get down there and look. It's flat and it doesn't run away. The problem with the children and the ice and the school bus is bad. There was a PPL going through the ice and hitting a car. It's just getting worse and worse and worse. He realizes it's going to be a big expense and doesn't know where the solution is. Mr. Miller said the bigger concern is the traffic. He knows there's a concern for the children at the bus stop and the potential solution for that is to move the bus stop. You people will be driving on the road, so you have to address the traffic concern. Mr. Gress said you have to congratulate Roger Rasich, he really cleans it off, but all the times he's down there and look at that expense, it might be cheaper to put in a drainage system.

DRAFT

General Business & Developer Meeting

April 17, 2013

E. **PLANNER** – No report

V. **ADJOURNMENT**

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for adjournment. The time was 8:38 pm.

SECOND BY: Mr. Horiszny

Mr. Kern asked if anyone had any questions? No one raised their hand.

ROLL CALL: 5-0

Submitted by:

Jack Cahalan
Township Manager

Glenn C. Kern
President of Council