
**The Township Building remains closed to the public.
This meeting will be held through a Zoom teleconferencing link.
Information to join the meeting will be provided on our website.**

I. OPENING

- A. Call to Order
- B. Roll Call
- C. Pledge of Allegiance
- D. Announcement of Executive Session (if applicable)
- E. Public Comment Procedure

II. PUBLIC COMMENT – TOWNSHIP RESIDENT ONLY – 3 MINUTE TIME LIMIT – NON-AGENDA ITEMS

III. PRESENTATIONS/HEARINGS

- A. Public Hearing & Consideration of Adoption of Ordinance No. 2021-01 – Codification Updates

IV. DEVELOPER ITEMS

- A. Steel City Mennonite Church Lot Line Consolidation Plan – 2137 Mixsell Avenue & 4283 Matthews Avenue

V. TOWNSHIP BUSINESS ITEMS

- A. Review of 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report
- B. Authorize Collection of 2020 Delinquent Taxes
- C. Review of Pavilion Rental/Special Event Procedure
- D. Saucon Valley Compost Center – Pole Building Installation – Authorization to End Maintenance Period
- E. Lawn Mowing Services – Bid Award for 2021
- F. Lawn Treatment Services – Bid Award for 2021
- G. Lower Saucon Road Bridge – Authorize Advertisement to Bid for Bridge Replacement
- H. Hellertown Area Library – Board of Trustees Appointment
- I. Pension Advisory Committee – Uniform Representative Appointment

VI. MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS ITEMS

- A. Approval of February 3, 2021 Council Minutes
- B. Approval of January 2021 Financial Reports

VII. COUNCIL & STAFF REPORTS

- A. Township Manager
- B. Council/Jr. Council Member
- C. Solicitor
- D. Engineer

VIII. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS – NON-RESIDENTS ONLY

IX. ADJOURNMENT

UPCOMING MEETINGS

Environmental Advisory Council: March 9, 2021 @ 6:30 p.m.
Saucon Valley Partnership: March 10, 2021 @ 6:00 p.m. @ LST
Landfill Committee: March 18, 2021 @ 1:00 p.m.
Saucon Rail Trail Oversight Commission: March 22, 2021 @ 6:00 p.m. @ HB
Zoning Hearing Board: March 22, 2021 @ 7:00 p.m.
Planning Commission: March 25, 2021 @ 7:00 p.m.
Township Council: April 7, 2021 @ 6:30 p.m.
Parks & Recreation: April 12, 2021 @ 6:30 p.m.

I. OPENING

CALL TO ORDER: The General Business & Developer meeting of Lower Saucon Township Council was called to order on Wednesday, March 3, 2021 at 6:30 p.m., via Zoom, with Mrs. Sandra Yerger, presiding. Mrs. Yerger said the Township building remains closed to the public. This meeting is being held through Zoom. Information to join the meeting is provided on our website.

ROLL CALL: Present: Sandra Yerger, President; Jason Banonis, Vice President; Priscilla deLeon, Kristen Stauffer and Thomas Carocci, Council Members; Leslie Huhn, Township Manager; Cathy Gorman, Director of Finance; Brenda Detweiler, Administrative Assistant; Jim Young, Zoning Officer; Linc Treadwell, Township Solicitor; Brien Kocher, Township Engineer; Jr. Council Member – Tyler Dickens.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ANNOUNCEMENT OF ANY EXECUTIVE SESSION (IF APPLICABLE) – Mrs. Yerger said Council did meet in Executive Session this evening to discuss potential land acquisition.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved to approve Property 2020-6 for an appraisal not to exceed \$3,500.00.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Stauffer

ROLL CALL: 5-0

PUBLIC COMMENT PROCEDURE

II. PUBLIC COMMENT – TOWNSHIP RESIDENTS ONLY – 3 MINUTE TIME LIMIT – NON-AGENDA ITEMS – Mrs. Yerger said we do welcome input from everybody but we ask that you keep your comments to three minutes per item. If you want to speak, please raise your hand. No one wished to speak.

III. PRESENTATIONS/HEARINGS

A. PUBLIC HEARING & CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF ORDINANCE NO. 2021-01 – CODIFICATION UPDATES

Mrs. Yerger said Ordinance No. 2021-01 has been advertised for a public hearing for the consideration and possible adoption to amend the Code of the Lower Saucon Township.

Mrs. Huhn said this is our first ordinance of the year. It's been advertised for your public hearing. This is brought to Council as they are clean-up items in our code that we referenced just changing some definitions, bringing some things up-to-date that have changed through the years, amending the timeframe for enactment of an ordinance, and just referencing Department of Public Works, what they cover and the different departments. We no longer have a Road Foreman, it's a Roadmaster, and the other thing we addressed was changing way the Controller was paid to delete the amount of \$7.50/hour and replace it with \$225/month. If Council would like to work on this ordinance now, we would open the hearing and then take testimony and go from there.

MOTION BY: Mrs. deLeon moved to open the hearing.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

ROLL CALL: 5-0

Attorney Treadwell said can you go through that again for the public hearing what the summary is. Mrs. Huhn said this is an ordinance modifying amending the Township code to Chapter 1 to replace the term "Township Accountant" with the "Director of Finance" title. Chapter 1 Section 160.14 is to replace the term "District Justice" with the term "Magisterial District Judge", again in Chapter 1 Section 160.14 is to replace the term "animal control" with the term "dog control". Chapter 5, Section 53a replaces the term "Township Accountant" with "Director of Finance". Chapter 5, Section 8.E.2.(g) is deleting the term "five days for enactment of an ordinance" and replacing it with "ten days enactment of an ordinance". Chapter 5, Section 5.10.D (1) amends to replace the term "Deputy Manager" with "Assistant Manager". Chapter 5, Section 5.11.C (1) amends to replace the phrase "properties facilities" at the end of the sentence with "properties facilities and buildings" that's associated with the Department of Public Works. Chapter 5, Section 5.11.C (3)(b) replaces the term "Road Foreman" with the term "Roadmaster". Chapter 5, Section 5.12.02 which deletes the amount of "\$7.50/hour" for the Controller and replaces it with "\$225/month". If you recall, Mrs. deLeon brought this up that this specific change for the payment

for the Controller and would not take effect until after his term is through and a new Controller is elected.

Attorney Treadwell said this ordinance has been advertised for the public hearing and potential adoption. Mrs. Huhn said correct. Mrs. Yerger asked if there were any questions from Council or the audience.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved to close the hearing.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Stauffer

ROLL CALL: 5-0

MOTION BY: Mrs. deLeon moved for adoption of Ordinance No. 2021-01 amending the Code of the Lower Saucon Township.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

Mrs. deLeon said it's a long time coming as some of these terms have been in the original document of the Administrative Code since the 70's.

ROLL CALL: 5-0

IV. DEVELOPER ITEMS

A. STEEL CITY MENNONITE CHURCH LOT LINE CONSOLIDATION PLAN – 2137 MIXSELL AVENUE & 4283 MATTHEWS AVENUE

Mrs. Yerger said the applicant is requesting conditional lot line adjustment plan approval to consolidate two existing lots with a recently vacated portion of Lewis Avenue.

Douglas Harwick from Keystone Consulting Engineers was present. He said the intent is to consolidate two existing lots on record. They are both owned by Steel City Mennonite Church into one lot and there's really no development proposed at this time. That's pretty much the basis of what our plan is.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved for approval of lot consolidation of 2137 Mixsell Avenue & 4283 Matthews Avenue in accordance with the draft motion prepared by HEA dated March 3, 2021 outlining the conditions of approval.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

ROLL CALL: 5-0

Attorney Treadwell said he'd like to clarify for the record this was a motion to approve the draft motion. Mrs. Yerger said yes.

V. TOWNSHIP BUSINESS ITEMS

A. REVIEW OF 2020 COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT

Mrs. Yerger said in accordance with the Budget Advisory Report (BAR) the Director of Finance will present Council with the prior year Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) report. This report provides an overview of the Township's audited transactions and supplements the Auditors' DCED report filing and Financial Report typically received in June each year.

Mrs. Gorman said there's no real surprises in the CAFR based on the December 31, 2020 financial statements she provided to Council last month. The expenses that we talked about previously were expenses that were related to COVID-19, some overages in engineering, which we did receive some other billings from the engineer from last year. Since we are on a modified cash basis, you will see the prior year expense but then at this time after the audit, they will filter that back into the engineering line item. The ledgers will be provided to you. We are requesting Council with the approval of this report to increase capital limitation amount to \$30,000 as opposed to \$20,000 and to reallocate funding to the Operational Reserve plans in the amount of \$1,587,496.55; and to reallocate \$700,000 to the fund balance in your Capital Plan. If you approve this, we'll make these transactions in April in conjunction with the regulations of the State Second Class Township Code. Mrs. Yerger asked if there were any questions. Mrs. deLeon said as usual, Mrs. Gorman did a great job. Mrs. Huhn said Cathy did do a great job and we will post this on the website tomorrow.

MOTION BY: Mrs. deLeon moved to approve the 2020 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.
SECOND BY: Mr. Carocci
ROLL CALL: 5-0

B. AUTHORIZE COLLETION OF 2020 DELINQUENT TAXES

Mrs. Yerger said Council is requested to direct the Township Manager to authorize the Finance Department to forward the 2020 Delinquent Tax list to Northampton County for collection.

Mrs. Huhn said there is one correction to the total of taxes being turned over. Cathy was informed that someone had paid their taxes, so this was reduced to \$40,941.96 in the base amount and penalty was \$4,035.12 and that will be turned over to Northampton County.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved to direct the Manager to authorize the Finance Department to forward the 2020 Delinquent Tax list to Northampton County for collection in the amount of \$40,941.96 with a penalty of \$4,035.12.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Yerger
ROLL CALL: 5-0

C. REVIEW OF PAVILION RENTAL/SPECIAL EVENT PROCEDURE

Mrs. Yerger said Council requested the pavilion rental and special event procedures be reviewed to see if revisions were warranted regarding rules of rental.

Mrs. Huhn said we did put a draft together of a suggested revision to our pavilion rental application. We had discussed this last year and after reviewing it, tried to see what would be the best fit. We discussed the number of people and what she's referring to is when a special event application would be necessary. In looking at that, they went back to their pavilion rental permits for about three years and different items, different types of events where the pavilions are used, which could be a birthday party, a wedding reception, company picnics, graduation parties and they range from any amount of people from 10 to 150 to 200. We thought the best way to address this is to actually add another type of event to what we already require special event applications for. That way this would come to Council for approval just like we bring the special events and any request for amplification. You'll see on the pavilion rental we added the type of activities and a question "is this a political event" yes or no. Once that is checked, that would then trigger Carol who takes the applications in, to tell them then you need a special event and that application would be revised accordingly as well. This had gone to Parks & Recreation last year, they reviewed it and their recommendation was limiting the number of people in the pavilions when you have the rental which we already do. It's posted on our website the amount of people each pavilion can hold and that is what is looked at when someone fills out the application.

Mrs. deLeon said the amount of 200 people, is there any place you can put that in parenthesis, maximum capacity 200 people. Mrs. Yerger said or is that on the contract. Mrs. Huhn said we can put it on the application. It's actually on our website under each park, but we could add that behind each park that we have listed. Mrs. deLeon said that would be helpful because people don't know to go to the website and look it up. Mrs. Stauffer said put it in a front and center spot so it keeps it clear for applicants and thank you to Leslie and the staff for looking at this and bringing it back.

Victoria Opthof-Cordero, 1682 Woodfield Drive, said she was just listening to what you indicated on the pavilion rental and the one thing that is triggering a more in-depth review would be if it's a political event, have you considered asking the applicant if the event is open to the public or advertised to the public? She's sure there could be other instances in which someone rented the pavilion and then it's advertised on Facebook or where have you, inviting people to come and you could run into the same situation of having more than what you had anticipated and that kind of thing. She doesn't know if we can, but she was wondering if you would consider asking just that question so you could cover anything people were advertising for public events.

Mrs. deLeon said that would be a fair question to ask them if it was an advertised public event. Mrs. Huhn said are you saying that would be on the pavilion rental application so someone who puts out a birthday party just ask them to check if it's been advertised or it's a public event, a wedding reception, did they advertise it?

Mrs. Yerger said it depends how you define public event. Mrs. deLeon said has it been opened to the public or is it invitation only. Mrs. Yerger said maybe that's the best way to do it. Mrs. deLeon said if you are having a graduation party or birthday party, you usually send out invites to your private family, you don't post it on a public website and have a free for all.

Attorney Treadwell said what do they do with the special events like Lacrosse Day or the Cyclocross, are those things advertised? Mrs. Huhn said yes. Attorney Treadwell said do you have room on the special events application to ask that question. Mrs. Huhn said if we are asking the question if it's advertised, and they are already filling out the special event application, you are going to assume it's advertised. She asked what do we consider advertising, if someone is having a birthday party and they are having their 50th birthday party and they put it on Facebook and they say all my friends come, is that advertising? Mrs. Yerger said that's going to be dicey.

Mrs. Stauffer said it would be advertising if the event was listed on Facebook as a public event versus like a private event. Typically if you post on Facebook about your birthday party, you post it to everybody and beyond the reach of your immediate family, but she also doesn't know what someone might do for their 50th birthday party and if they do want a free for all. Mrs. Huhn said we could put it down in the Township use only section and it could be a question that whoever is taking in the rental application could ask is this event being advertised. Mrs. deLeon said if you post it on your Facebook page as social media, you are limiting your announcement to your friends by not putting it public. Mrs. Huhn said that would be another trigger for whoever is taking in the application, if it's being advertised, please fill out a special event application as well. Mrs. Yerger said that sounds good. Mrs. Huhn said we can do that.

Ms. Andrea Wittchen, 1885 Dartford Road, said it relates to what you were just talking about which is if we go back to what the concern was in the Fall, was not so much that it was a political event, it was instead the fact that there didn't seem to be any gating issue as to what required a special event permit and what the concerns were as she recall. It required extra work from Public Works, and required extra Police attention and that it was not clear to the person on the staff who would be taking the request for pavilion rental how they were to determine whether it required a special permit. So rather than just simply adding a political event, which she would think there might be political events that people would have no objections to, it's rather a broader question as to how do you make the determination that it requires a special permit and that determination is not based on the content of the event, but rather on the strain or liability that it's going to put on the Township. If it is going to put additional cost or liability on the Township, that you want to be able to require them to complete the special permit with all the additional requirements for deposits and insurance and all of that. The appropriate question is something along the lines of will your event require additional police, additional parking, the kinds of functions that are going to be provided by the Township that would not be required if this is just someone having a birthday party there so that the person taking the application can say oh, they think they are going to need x, y and z; therefore, that means it's a special permit situation. She doesn't think the content of the event is what matters. It's the structure of the event and how they are going to use the facilities determines whether you are going to have a special permit. The other question that was raised in the Fall was, and she just went back and re-read the regulations and rules, is that there is absolutely nothing in there that says who enforces the rules and uses. That was a major question because there were a lot of rules broken in the Fall about whose job is it to enforce those rules and she still doesn't see anything that addresses that issue.

Mrs. deLeon said that's a good point, enforcement because there were police there and the police just stood there and watched. She knows she watched the video and she saw stuff that she had objection to. How will this be enforced then?

Mrs. Huhn said one of the issues that was raised was parking on the grass. At that time, we had no ability to enforce that as we had no parking signs, so we will address that and she's working with the Public Works Department and Chief to alleviate that situation so signs will be erected and we will take care of that.

Attorney Treadwell said we don't have any enforcement mechanism other than the Police Department, unless you are going to hire somebody to go out there every time the pavilion is rented and watch people, you are not going to know. Most of the time if someone is having a wedding reception on a Saturday, there's nobody around. We have our police officers who are on duty and if there's a problem, the police officers will go there and address the problem. Ms. Wittchen said they won't. Attorney Treadwell said what do you mean they won't? Ms. Wittchen said they didn't address it in the Fall. Attorney Treadwell said what didn't they address? Ms. Wittchen said the police were told; we had people who attended the Council meeting who said they had gone to the police, she believes it was the Chief the person said, and complained about some of the behaviors and the response was there was nothing he could do about it. Attorney Treadwell said the Chief and every police officer has a certain amount of discretion as to whether they charge somebody, cite somebody or arrest somebody, so maybe in that situation, whatever the conduct was that was complained of, didn't rise to the level of enforcing it, but as a Township, there's nobody else that can enforce that stuff. It's just the police.

Ms. Wittchen said then the answer from the Chief should be that he doesn't consider that it rises to that level, not that there's nothing he can do. Attorney Treadwell said he doesn't think the Chief is on this call, so he doesn't want to have a conversation as to what he should or shouldn't say since he's not here to discuss it. Mrs. Yerger said we don't know exactly what was said, we didn't hear his side of it, so this isn't appropriate at this time. Attorney Treadwell said if somebody had complained during that specific event that people were parking on the grass, well, without having signs that say don't park on the grass, there's nothing we could do. We are fixing that. If somebody complains at an event about somebody's behavior, then just like anywhere else, the police will listen and make a determination as to whether that behavior needs to be addressed or not.

Mrs. Huhn said as for any damage that would occur during the event, Public Works checks the pavilion before and after an event, and if they notice anything, it's reported and then we go to the entity that rented the pavilion.

Mrs. deLeon said she thinks we need to continue to look into the enforcement angle here but in the meantime at least we have this plan we can pass. She'd also like to talk about the possibility of, Leslie you know with the Heller Homestead, when they ask for event approval, they are given a map that defines the area that they are not allowed to put tents up as in the past they moved the rocks over by where the garage used to be and did things they weren't supposed to. Mrs. deLeon said if there's a way you could develop a map and you can show the pavilion and where parking is not allowed, different things like that, then they'll have a map and if something happens, the police will have that same map to know they are not supposed to be there. Just a suggestion. Mrs. Huhn said we can develop that.

Mrs. Stauffer said she had a question in terms of two points that Andrea raised about the requirement of additional support from the Township for an event, would it make sense to also have those questions on the pavilion form, is that something we can add as part of this? It would be adding the idea of a political event that helps trigger it, but again, it isn't necessarily the content of the event that would be the larger concern at all, it's more about if there's any additional concerns that the Township needs to be aware of, that's a really good point. Would it make sense

to add those questions to the pavilion rental that would also act as another trigger to staff to potentially consider the event as a special event?

Attorney Treadwell said one of the practical questions that he would have about that, he doesn't know anybody, and he can't think of an example, where someone would be reserving the pavilion for an event and would think ahead of time that they are going to need the police. Who would do that? He's been to weddings where the police were called, he doesn't think anyone anticipated that was going to happen, but it does. He doesn't know if you ask people on an application, do you need the police, the answer is always going to be no, why would I need the police.

Mrs. deLeon said that's true, it was a private event and wasn't opened to the public. Mrs. Stauffer said yes, in theory a wedding is a private event. She doesn't know if some of the previous events have been private.

Attorney Treadwell said you have Lacrosse Day, which has been almost 300 people, you ask the Lacrosse people if they need police coverage they are going to say no, why would I need police coverage. It doesn't mean they might not need it but they are going to say no, they don't. Mrs. Huhn said on our special event application there's the question so Lacrosse needs to fill this out, please describe your procedures for crowd control and internal security, so they have to tell us what they are going to do. It's not incumbent on us to provide it. We're not saying would you like us to provide it. Mrs. Stauffer said the pavilion rental side of it doesn't need it as long as the event gets kicked over to the special event process. Mrs. Huhn said yes, as soon as it's triggered for the special event. Mrs. Stauffer said that's good, we clarified that.

Ms. Liz Babashak, 1939 Saucon Lane, said she thinks that the event that is in question, first of all, was announced in The Morning Call, so she does think it really wasn't private at the time and there were a lot of people that were planning on going to it, so she thinks it's a little silly especially as it was a very controversial couple that was coming to speak. That's why the police should have been there because of course people aren't going to assume that the police need to be there for a Lacrosse banquet or a wedding or a 50th anniversary party, but when a controversial couple is coming to an area in the Lehigh Valley, and where they had planned to go to another location, it was cancelled because they anticipated violence. Then of course, Lower Saucon says, sure go ahead and use our pavilion. She thinks let's be realistic that we should have looked at this ahead of time and decided okay, the police should have been there and the head of police should be on this Zoom right now. So, let's not just think this was just a regular party or a birthday or graduation party. This was a pretty big deal.

Attorney Treadwell said the police were there on duty and if we're going to start treating people differently because something might be controversial, who is going to decide whether it's controversial or not.

Mrs. deLeon said when you are getting phone calls from people that the press is afraid to be there because of people antagonizing them and the police we called telling them that, that should have been big signs to the police department. She's not real happy the way that was handled that day. She said this before and she's saying it again. She was very unhappy with the way certain things were handled that evening. We can do much better to protect our residents.

Ms. Babashak said in response to Linc, she thinks that we need to do a better job as a Township to categorize what kind of events we want to have at the pavilion. Attorney Treadwell said that's what we're doing. Ms. Babashak said good, that's great.

Ms. Opthof-Cordero said listening to what we're saying, she thinks you can certainly put something in an ordinance, and if there's isn't an ordinance, there should be. She doesn't know if we're limiting the crowd size between a pavilion rental vs. a special event, but that would be a suggestion and clearly if the event size is over what the applicant put on their application, there

should be a way to shut it down and tell people to leave. She doesn't understand how that is not something we can put in an ordinance or in the application itself that says if somebody notices that the crowd is bigger than what it's supposed to be, then the police should have the authority to do that and there should be penalties associated with the applicant if it's found there are rules broken and that can clearly be stated too. You can bring them to magistrate court and make them pay a fine for the overtime or whatever it was that happened as they didn't comply with the paperwork. You can put things on an application knowing it may well not be true because they wanted to get through a particular type of application vs. the special event vs. the pavilion. In this case, it was clear that they targeted the pavilion rental because it was easy to get through and didn't require any oversight. Whether they can say they didn't know it would be over 200 people, there has to be some kind of penalty in place when they don't comply and you can put that in an ordinance. Maybe there isn't an ordinance but that should be something that you should consider drafting so that there can be some other effect other than a police officer trying to figure out what do I do.

Attorney Treadwell said if Leslie signs up for the pavilion rental and says 100 people are coming and 110 show up, are we saying we are going to fine her for that? Who is going to count? All of this stuff may make sense if we live in a perfect world theoretically, but from a practical standpoint if someone has a wedding out there and says they have 200 people coming on a Saturday, nobody is going out there to count if there are 200 or 210.

Ms. Opthof-Cordero said if the police are called, and somebody is concerned, and they happen to go there and notice it's out of control. She's seen weddings shut down because they caused a ruckus and they were way overcrowded. Attorney Treadwell said we have rules about that, disorderly conduct rules in the park rules and you get cited for that. Ms. Opthof-Cordero said disorderly conduct is different than saying this event needs to end because it's not complying with what the application said. Attorney Treadwell said if it says 200 people are showing up and 210 show up, are you going to shut it down? Ms. Opthof-Cordero said maybe you should. Attorney Treadwell said why? Ms. Opthof-Cordero said because they didn't comply with their application. Attorney Treadwell said it's a no harm, no foul situation. What is the harm of having 210 instead of 200? Is that enough to shut somebody's wedding reception down. Ms. Opthof-Cordero said it's about following the rules, that's it. Attorney Treadwell said if he's going 35 MPH in a 30 mile per hour zone, there's some latitude there, that the officer can give him a ticket or not, it's got to be situational. You got to give them discretion.

Ms. Wittchen, 1885 Dartford Road, said we are getting a little off the track of what the original concern is, and again, if she walks into the Township office, get this request for pavilion rental, fill it out, without the political event question on it, hand it to the Township person who is in charge of this, how do they look at this and say, you need a special permit. What is there on this form that says to them you need a special permit? How does the admin. person look at this and say this event requires a special permit unless it exceeds the number of the people who are allowed to use that pavilion. What is there on this request that shows what the differentiation is between the rental and a special permit? Mrs. Huhn said the type of activity.

Attorney Treadwell said isn't that in the policy and defines what a special event is. Mrs. Huhn said yes, it's in there. Attorney Treadwell said there's a definition of what a special event is and what the proposal is tonight is to add "political events" to the definition of a special event.

Mrs. Stauffer said she thinks one thing that could be noted in the packet, the special event application is not included, it's just the pavilion forms themselves. Mrs. Yerger said they are available at the Township. Mrs. Huhn said they are on the website, so they are accessible. Mrs. Stauffer said she wanted to clarify there is another guideline sheet available.

Mrs. deLeon said another thing that is a trigger is most of the people that were there that evening that had issues with the way they were being treated by attendees, and to her, disorderly conduct. She's not a police officer and not sure what that all entails but they were not behaving

appropriately in her opinion. The people needed to call 911 and most of the people there did not know that. They figured they saw police officers there and that gave them a comfort level and going up to them and complaining about their safety should have been all they needed, not to be told they had to call 911 and issue a complaint. People don't know that. You're at Musikfest and you see a security guard and you are having an issue, you aren't going to take your phone and call 911, you are going to go up to that security guard and tell him about the problem you are having. That's what she would do. That's what a normal person would do.

Mrs. Yerger said we have a draft of the proposed pavilion rental application. Is there any other discussion exactly on this form, on what we want to see added?

Mrs. deLeon said the discussion this evening, the red areas definitely, and what else did we talk about Leslie? Mrs. Stauffer said the admin section where we said something about putting the quantity of people. Mrs. Huhn said we'll put behind park the amount of people. Mrs. Yerger said is that good? Mrs. deLeon said yes for now and she'd like the enforcement looked into in the future. Mrs. Yerger said that's a whole different issues, we need to take care of this one now. Mrs. deLeon said I know. Mrs. Huhn said they will revise it based on what was discussed.

- MOTION BY:** Mrs. Yerger moved to approve revisions as discussed above to the pavilion and special event application.
- SECOND BY:** Mrs. deLeon
- ROLL CALL:** 5-0

D. SAUCON VALLEY COMPOST CENTER – POLE BUILDING INSTALLATION – AUTHORIZATION TO END MAINTENANCE PERIOD

Mrs. Yerger said the twelve-month maintenance period for the Pole Building Installation at the Saucon Valley Compost Center is due to expire on March 4, 2021. The Director of Public Works of Lower Saucon Township and the Supervisor of Public Works of Hellertown Borough have confirmed there are no outstanding issues with the building.

Mrs. Huhn said this is to release them from their maintenance and we would return their maintenance bond. Everything else is fine.

- MOTION BY:** Mrs. deLeon moved to approve the end of the maintenance period for the Saucon Valley Compost Center – Pole Building Installation and to release the bond.
- SECOND BY:** Mr. Carocci
- MOTION BY:** Mrs. deLeon asked if she could amend the motion to include the dollar amount of \$9,059.40.
- MOTION BY:** Mrs. deLeon amended her previous motion to approve the end of the maintenance period for the Saucon Valley Compost Center – Pole Building Installation and to release the bond in the amount of \$9,059.40.
- SECOND BY:** Mr. Carocci amended his second
- ROLL CALL:** 5-0

E. LAWN MOWING SERVICES – BID AWARD FOR 2021

Mrs. Yerger said a bid opening for lawn mowing services for 2021 was held on February 26, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. The Manager will recommend to Council the lowest responsible bidder. Mrs. Huhn said we received two bids for the lawn mowing services. It was Four Seasons Lawn Care and Lawn Design and Stem Lawn Care. Four Seasons bid amount was \$60,430 and Stem was \$79,995. They both had their bid bonds available with their bids so we are recommending Four Seasons at \$60,430.

- MOTION BY:** Mrs. Yerger moved to approve Four Seasons Lawn Care and Lawn Design for lawn mowing services at a price of \$60,430.
- SECOND BY:** Mrs. deLeon
- MOTION BY:** Mrs. deLeon said who is doing it now? Mrs. Huhn said Four Seasons.
- ROLL CALL:** 5-0

F. LAWN TREATMENT SERVICES – BID AWARD FOR 2021

Mrs. Yerger said a bid opening for lawn treatment services for 2021 was held on February 26, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. The Manager will recommend to Council the lowest responsible bidder. Mrs. Huhn said we received two bids, one was from Joshua Tree in the amount of \$28,131.66 and DeAngelo Contracting Services at \$17,560. We are recommending DeAngelo Contracting Services and that is who we had previously.

MOTION BY: Mrs. deLeon moved to approve DeAngelo Contracting Services out of Hazelton for lawn treatment services at a price of \$17,560.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Yerger

ROLL CALL: 5-0

G. LOWER SAUCON ROAD BRIDGE – AUTHORIZE ADVERTISEMENT TO BID FOR BRIDGE REPLACEMENT

Mrs. Yerger said Hanover Engineering has been working to obtain the final clearances from PennDOT required as a result of the grant funding process for the replacement of the Lower Saucon Road Bridge. Council will need to give authorization to advertise to bid for the bridge replacement project upon final approval from the grant administrator.

Mrs. Huhn said we're coming to you for the authorization to advertise at this point. We'd like to keep this project moving. The bridge has been closed for quite a while and we've hit some snags along the way so that's why we are coming to you now, but basing it upon a couple of the other issues that need to be addressed and we are waiting on those. We do have the DEP permit for the waterway encroachment. We have our clearance for the bog turtles. We have our environmental and right-of-way clearance from PennDOT. What we still need is final approval from PennDOT on our structural adequacy and for a utility clearance. We are working on that and she thinks Brien has a meeting scheduled this week out there to look at one of those issues. The grant administrator must approve the bid package and specs. This is just to advertise once these final things are in place. We didn't want to hold it up. We wanted to be ready to move as soon as those are done.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved to authorize the advertisement for bridge replacement bids of the Lower Saucon Road Bridge.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

ROLL CALL: 5-0

H. HELLEROWN AREA LIBRARY – BOARD OF TRUSTEES APPOINTMENT

Mrs. Yerger said due to the resignation of David Finnerty, Council will need to appoint a Township resident to complete his term on the Hellertown Area Library (HAL) Board which is set to expire December 31, 2021. The HAL Board has provided their recommendation to nominate Janie Hecker to fill the position. Mrs. Huhn said you have Hellertown's recommendation in a form of a letter, she believes it was from Julie Sefton who is the Board of Director President.

Mr. Carocci said it's his understanding there were other interested LST residents as well. Mrs. Huhn said we had two other applicants submit letters. Mr. Carocci said he'd like Council to consider them.

MOTION BY: Mrs. Stauffer moved to appoint Janie Hecker to the Hellertown Area Library Board of Trustees, with her term expiring December 31, 2021.

Mrs. deLeon said that was the recommendation of the board and she believes, but doesn't have the document in front of her, the by-laws say that they are to provide Council with a recommendation.

Mr. Carocci said they aren't our by-laws. He'd like to have Linc weigh in on this a little bit. We spend over \$100,000 of taxpayer money at the HAL and that's great, and we should be doing that, but we don't get to appoint a Board Member. Attorney Treadwell said when we decided back when we were talking about the Bethlehem Library and the Hellertown Library, we entered into an agreement with the Township, the Borough, and the Library. The agreement says the board members should be a resident of the municipality making the appointment, so it's up to the

municipality to make the appointment. Their by-laws talk about making a recommendation to Council, but you don't have to follow it, you can pick who you want. Mrs. deLeon said we don't have to follow anything if we don't want to. Attorney Treadwell said right, it's completely up to Council as to who you want to appoint.

Mr. Carocci said he thinks these other candidates should be considered. He's sure Ms. Hecker is a fine person but she's been on the board for the past 6 or 7 years. He doesn't know why we can't open it to other residents. The two residents, Ms. Phillips and Ms. Basara are long term residents of LST. It's his understanding that Ms. Hecker has recently become a resident of LST. Mrs. deLeon said she was formerly a long time resident of LST, and moved to Hellertown and then moved back to the Township. Mr. Carocci said it doesn't change his concern that we should be giving other residents a chance. After someone serves for 6 years and there's other residents that are interested in serving, they should be considered. He doesn't feel they were by HAL and he feels it's our appointment to make.

Mrs. Stauffer said she can assure you that all the residents were considered at that meeting. There was a thorough and thoughtful discussion about all the candidates; and Sara Phillips and Lisa Basara are both library card holders and would be fine additions to the many committee opportunities that we have available. The Library Board's wishes and hopes were that LST would consider their recommendation for Janie Hecker, which was made in unanimously and that when it comes to a non-profit like the library, there's plenty of ways that many hands can lift up that organization. If both Sara and Lisa would be interested, she knows that the library would be honored to have them on committees and as the letter states from the board, with the eye of joining the board in the future as their participation in other committees, they get to see how it would be to be on the board.

Mr. Banonis said he would note that both Sara and Lisa applied to be on the board so they have a sincere interest on being on the board of the HAL. Looking at their credentials, Sara has been employed by the Bethlehem Area Library and she may be able to bring some knowledge and experience from that position to our library. She's also on the Board for the Saucon Valley Performing Arts Association, she has past experience on the PTO Organization. Lisa Basara uses the library extensively and has a child with autism. She has a background in economics and a Master's Degree in Business Administration, she's been on an annual campaign for the Eagles Autism Challenge, and so it seems that these well qualified people are being overlooked. He's not sure why and that's disappointing because we in this Township have the benefit of having people who want to be involved. Some organizations within the community don't have any volunteers and here we have two volunteers who are very interested and are essentially being shunned. Mrs. Stauffer said no one is being shunned, that is definitely putting words into the Library Board's mouth. Mr. Banonis said he's not speaking to the Library Board, he's speaking of what his observations are based upon the nomination here to reappoint someone to the Library Board that has had a lot of experience on the board. He just questions why. He doesn't know. The motion's been made and it hasn't been voted on, but those are his questions and concerns.

Mr. Carocci said his concern is all good boards have turnovers so they have different ideas, different opinions, and Ms. Hecker has been on the board for six years and it's time for a change. It's good for all boards to have different people involved. In a couple of years when another term opens up she could consider it again, but this is continuous, the same people. It's not good for the oversight of taxpayer dollars. That's in general, he's not accusing any boards in general. A lot of corporate boards, for example, have in their corporate by-laws that an individual can only serve two consecutive terms as they want different people. They don't necessarily want a cozy relationship between the people they are somewhat overseeing and the taxpayers dollars they are overseeing. It's just a way to keep things fresh in any type of relationships and the turnover is not a bad thing, it's a positive thing. He thinks six years is plenty and it's time for a change.

**General Business & Developer Meeting
March 3, 2021**

Mrs. Yerger said she served five years consecutively, correct? So in the by-laws you have to step off by next year. Mrs. deLeon said she did that. Mrs. Yerger said no she didn't. Mrs. Stauffer said she was not on the board in 2019. Mr. Carocci said she was back on in 2020 though.

Mrs. deLeon said she served two consecutive terms and stepped down and now she reapplied to be on this vacancy and in her opinion she'd like to put on the record that she looked at all three candidates and going with the library's recommendation as they are going to involve the other two candidates in other committees until another vacancy comes up. She values all three of their qualifications, and everybody brings a different perspective to a board.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

Mr. Carocci said he has concerns about not having independent people on these committees. Was Ms. Hecker on the board when the Library Director was hired? Mrs. Stauffer said which one? Mr. Carocci said Noelle. Mrs. Stauffer said she is the Director but Robin was until very recently. Mrs. deLeon said she wasn't on the board for her appointment. (The foregoing comment was amended at the April 7, 2021 Council meeting to state "Mrs. Hecker was on the board when the new director was appointed".)

Mrs. Stauffer said the Library Board was thrilled to have three people apply for this. They were very excited to see that and offering the committee-ships was the way that the library thought they could honor those applications and applaud the credentials to those who did apply. There's going to be two people who can't be chosen, one seat, three people, so there's so many ways to be involved in a non-profit and there's so much you can do as a part of one of the committees and the library could use that committee support. This is a good opportunity for Sara and Lisa as applicants to be able to be on the committees in a leadership way.

Mr. Carocci said our agreement with them says it can be our choice and when we are going to give over \$100,000 of taxpayer money to any entity, it needs to be our choice. Mrs. Stauffer said we would approve it as our choice tonight and she thinks one of the things that is unique about the HAL is the fact it's a combined effort between both Townships. She knows Hellertown has been supportive of the library's recommendations in the past. She would be hesitant to cause any conflicts between the way LST regards the Board and the way Hellertown regards the Board's recommendations in this vote in particular. There's a motion on the floor, so it would be appropriate to have a roll call.

Mrs. deLeon said Tom, how long have you been on the Planning Commission? Mr. Carocci said three or four years. Mrs. deLeon said and the EAC, are you a voting member? Mr. Carocci said he's never been a voting member of the EAC, just an associate member. Mrs. deLeon said you are still taking up a seat. Mr. Carocci said if someone wanted the EAC seat, they could have it, as he would step down in a heartbeat if someone else applied to it. He'll step down from Planning when it expires too. Mrs. deLeon said we'll keep that in mind. Mr. Carocci said absolutely.

ROLL CALL: 2-3 – Motion fails (Mrs. Yerger, Mr. Banonis and Mr. Carocci – No)

MOTION BY: Mr. Carocci moved to nominate Sara Phillips to the Hellertown Library Board.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

Mrs. Stauffer said that's very disrespectful to the Library Board and their recommendation. In the past it has been honored.

Mrs. Yerger said she works with a board on a large conservation organization and we change our board members much quicker than the Library Board does in terms of keeping it fresh and rotating people on and off, just for new ideas. That is why she is voting for a new member.

Mrs. Stauffer said there are other members who have been brand new to the board in year's past. It's not a matter of newness, it's a matter of what the Library Board thought would be a wise choice.

**General Business & Developer Meeting
March 3, 2021**

Mr. Banonis said haven't we already had a motion on this and it's over and done with. Now there's a new motion on the table. Mrs. Stauffer said it is over and done with. Mr. Banonis said why are we revisiting an issue that's already been decided, he wants this to move on.

Mrs. Yerger said she just wanted to explain her position, bear with me. Mr. Banonis said he's not suggesting anything untoward about what Mrs. Yerger was doing, he's just asking why we are talking about being allegedly disrespectful to the Hellertown Library. We are not in any way. We provide tens of thousands of dollars to the library. We support the library in many ways, and he's happy we have volunteers that want to be part of the library. He doesn't see any disrespect whatsoever. He questions why we are rehashing this again.

Mrs. deLeon said because point of order there's called a Sunshine Law and every time we have a new motion on the table, there is supposed to have conversation about it. That's what Sandy did. Mr. Banonis said the conversation about it... Mrs. deLeon said it doesn't matter what you think, it's what Sandy wants to say, it's what Sandy wants to say. Mr. Banonis said it's not what Sandy is saying, that's not what he's taking issue to. He's questioning why Kristen is talking about being disrespectful to this board and not being deferential to them.

Mrs. deLeon said and she has every right to say that. Mrs. Stauffer said as library liaison she has the right to talk about it. It's not a waste of time when there are people...Mrs. Yerger said no disrespect to Janie Hecker, she's sure she's done a wonderful job on the board, but she's impressed Sara has served on the Bethlehem Library and she can bring new ideas hopefully and incorporate it with those that have been on the board as well. There's no reason Janie can't come back.

Mrs. Stauffer said and there's no reason that being involved in the Bethlehem Library would be a potential conflict of interest. Mrs. Yerger said no. Mr. Carocci said nope. Mrs. Yerger said she doesn't know how you see that.

ROLL CALL: 3-2 (Mrs. deLeon and Mrs. Stauffer – No)

I. PENSION ADVISORY COMMITTEE – UNIFORM REPRESENTATIVE APPOINTMENT

Mrs. Yerger said due to a resignation, the Manager is recommending Jared Gunshore to fill the unexpired term of a Uniformed Representative to the Pension Advisory Committee. His term will expire on December 31, 2021.

MOTION BY: Mrs. Yerger moved to appoint Jared Gunshore to the Lower Saucon Township Pension Advisory Committee with a term expiring December 31, 2021.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

ROLL CALL: 5-0

VI. MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS ITEMS

A. APPROVAL OF FEBRUARY 3, 2021 COUNCIL MINUTES

Mrs. Yerger said the February 3, 2021 minutes are ready for Council's review and approval.

MOTION BY: Mrs. Yerger moved for approval of the February 3, 2021 Council minutes.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

ROLL CALL: 5-0

B. APPROVAL OF JANUARY 2021 FINANCIAL REPORTS

Mrs. Yerger said January 2021 financial reports are ready for Council's review and approval.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved for approval of the January 2021 financial reports.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Yerger

ROLL CALL: 5-0

VII. PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS – NON-RESIDENTS ONLY

Ms. Opthof-Cordero said she heard what Tom said about the Landfill Committee and she was hopeful he could expand about what the Committee met about and what was going on at the landfill. Mr. Carocci said we met February 18th and we got the log for the number of odor complaints and they went down from 11 in

**General Business & Developer Meeting
March 3, 2021**

January 2020 to only six of this year, he thought that was an improvement although six is still too many. The tonnage was up in January and had gone down in December but they thought that was because of weather. The overweight trucks total in November was 2,142, December 1,440 and January 2,137. Overweight vehicles was 33 in November with 31 warnings and two suspensions, December there were 14 overweight's with 13 warnings and 1 suspension and January 42 overweight with 35 warnings and 7 suspensions. The flare was operational. There are HEA comments letters on the website along with pictures as well as all these statistics for anyone to look at and comment on. Ms. Opthof –Cordero said it was good to hear an overview of what is going on. With the complaints that were raised, what is the Township's ability to address that with the landfill and what was the landfill's response? Mr. Carocci said the Landfill Committee meetings are public and anyone can Zoom in, but we did go over the complaints. Donna Louder brought up some complaints and the overweight trucks and some problems with Applebutter Road and the dust getting on cars. The landfill addresses them at that meeting and they are trying to do better. They look into the odor complaints and their truck wash was frozen because of the weather, so it was difficult for them to wash the trucks before they went back out on Applebutter Road. Mrs. deLeon said they need to have Plan B. Mrs. Huhn said they have rumble strips and something the trucks would be driving over in the event it is frozen. Mr. Carocci said he would encourage Ms. Opthof-Cordero to attend the landfill meetings by Zoom. It's a lot of information and you can ask them directly about any complaints that have been filed and they will answer you directly. Mrs. Huhn said we do post everything on the website. Ms. Louder said the next meeting is on March 18th at 1:00 p.m.

Ms. Louder said there's a rumor that the fire companies will not be merging because somebody's attorney told them not to merge, is this true? Mrs. Yerger said personally we have not heard anything like that. Attorney Treadwell said he has had discussions with both of the fire company's attorneys and neither one of them has said that to him. Mrs. deLeon said how recent was that? Attorney Treadwell said in the last two weeks. Ms. Louder said she appreciates that and wanted to verify that.

VIII. COUNCIL & STAFF REPORTS

A. MANAGER

- Mrs. Huhn said a snow emergency was declared on February 18th & 19th and she needs Council to ratify the declaration.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved for ratification of the declaration of emergency for February 18 and 19, 2021.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

ROLL CALL: 5-0

- Mrs. Huhn said the Community Day Committee has recommended postponing Community Day for 2021. The consensus was that social distancing would be hard to maintain for both patrons and vendors. Hellertown Borough is in agreement with this recommendation.
- Mrs. Huhn said the Community Center has contacted the Township and asked if we wanted to hold the Summer Recreation program this year. Roberta has indicated she has concerns with the ability to social distance the children with the activities that they usually plan and offer. She's also concerned about wearing masks in the heat. She'd like to know if Council would like them to offer this program this year. If it is something we proceed with, they would probably have to hire more staff than they usually do, with the children to volunteer ratio. Mr. Banonis said did they give you a cut-off date when this decision has to be made. He said today is March 3rd and he read that there are 17 states that have an active no mask mandate. Vaccines are rolling out and we're heading to a more positive environment and he's wondering if we need to make this decision until April or May recognizing the climate may be different in 30 or 60 days. Mrs. Huhn said she can ask Roberta, who indicated she is getting questions from residents and parents. She usually starts her planning now. We may be able to hold off until April, but not May because of the planning processes as it takes time to hire their volunteers to get qualified people.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved to table this decision for now and revisit it in 30 days and see how things are at that point.

Mrs. deLeon said our parks, we talked about having the pavilion rental so that means the parks will be open. Will the restroom facilities be open or just the port-a-potties? Mrs.

Huhn said she has to discuss that with Roger going forward. We are still adhering to CDC guidelines so as Jason just mentioned, we'll have to mention that and see where it goes. They are not open at this time of year anyway, but we'll look at it and if it meets the guidelines, we'll certainly open them. Our parks have been open, they were open last year, but the pavilion rental due to the social distancing and CDC guidelines were not. Mrs. deLeon said what age group does the community center have the program for. Mrs. Huhn said she thinks it goes up to 5th or 6th grade. Mrs. deLeon said that age group needs to have some activity, these kids are kind of homebound now. It would be good to have some kind of program for them, but that raises the concerns with the virus and what's going on. The concerns she raised with the masks and that kind of stuff. She'd like to support that for the kids but balance it with what's going on with the virus. Mrs. Huhn said we can come back in April and have additional guidance. Mrs. Yerger said one thing she can say with the kids and the masks, a lot of school districts are doing in-school some of the time, and her grandson who just turned 7, they wear their masks when they go into school, and it's here at Saucon Valley and everywhere else. She can understand her concern about the heat, but the kids are pretty well used to wearing a mask when they have to. That's something else we need to acknowledge. Mrs. deLeon said she hopes we can accommodate something or if there's another alternative to do something for the kids. If there's a second needed for the tabling motion, she will second it. Attorney Treadwell said Leslie can just bring it back next month.

- Mrs. Huhn said we received a letter of resignation from Kathy Pichel McGovern who served on the Planning Commission. If you make a motion to accept that, we will advertise for the vacancy on the website and send her a letter thanking her for serving on the P/C.

MOTION BY: Mrs. Yerger moved to accept the resignation from Kathy Pichel McGovern from the Planning Committee.

SECOND BY: Mr. Carocci

ROLL CALL: 5-0

- Mrs. Huhn said we received a request today from the Hellertown Historical Society. They organize the Saucon Valley Easter Egg Hunt. They are planning on holding that this year with social distancing and will be doing a drive-through event. They are requesting a \$500 donation from the Township. They requested the same from Hellertown Borough for the purchase of bags, gloves and the things they would need to put little bags together. They said in the past, the event was funded by sponsors and it's been difficult this past year to get sponsors as businesses are not doing well and the income from their rental of the Historical Society has been suffering. That's why they are coming to the two municipalities. We would have that available in our donation line item. Mrs. deLeon said she'd like to support that for the community.

MOTION BY: Mr. Banonis moved for approval to donate \$500 to the Hellertown Historical Society for the Saucon Valley Easter Egg Hunt for 2021.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

ROLL CALL: 5-0

- Mrs. Yerger said it will be interesting to see how a drive through will work. Mrs. deLeon said creativity.
- Mrs. Huhn said we will be applying to Benecon for a wellness grant that they offer every year and we apply for it every year. It's 100% funded and it's for \$1,000 and supports healthy lifestyles. It's due on Friday, so we will apply again. Last year we applied for another piece of fitness equipment for the exercise room upstairs and this year we'd like to apply for CPR and AED training for our employees and also do some health webinars. There is no match required and hopefully we will be successful.
- Mrs. Huhn said we are recommending that we apply for the Lehigh Valley Greenways Grant, which is due March 19th. If Council is in agreement, she along with Cathy and Roger have looked at some possible submissions and we'd like to request funding for the base on the Rail Trail. In some areas there is stone coming up, as it's used very hard. We'd like to do some repairs. The cost estimate for the total project is \$10,000. This grant

is a limit of \$10,000 but it is a matching grant. We'd apply for \$5,000 and then our match would be \$5,000.

MOTION BY: Mrs. Yerger moved for approval for staff to apply to the Lehigh Valley Greenways grant program for Saucon Rail Trail repairs.

Mrs. deLeon said is that one of the committees we are supposed have a liaison for, but we don't know much about it? Mrs. Yerger said no, it's made up of non-profits.

SECOND BY: Mrs. deLeon

ROLL CALL: 5-0

B. COUNCIL & JR. COUNCIL REPORTS

Jr. Council – Tyler Dickens – Tyler said he had no report this evening.

Mrs. deLeon

- We had the LMIP meeting on February 17th and she did ask a bunch of questions regarding the NOV that the landfill received on April 29, 2020 and the measures that were taken by DEP to help eliminate the odors from the Landfill. Also the confusing language when you call to place an odor complaint. She asked if Waste Management got back to us on the LMIP? Mrs. Huhn said she didn't see anything come through yet, and will follow up with them. Mrs. deLeon said didn't you post the PPT but they were supposed to follow up with minutes? Mrs. Huhn said correct.

Mr. Carocci

- He said we had some more snow since the last meeting and wanted to thank Roger and the road crew and hopefully all the snow is over with.
- We've had Landfill Committee meetings and the information is on the website for anybody to look at including our engineers inspection letters, odor complaints, and truck weights. Mrs. deLeon said she remembers about having snow on Easter Sunday way back and it was a major snowstorm. Mr. Carocci said he feels we are going to get one more.

Mr. Banonis

- He said the Saucon Valley Diamond and Little League is open for registration right now and will close on March 7th. Try-outs are March 13th. That suggests to him there may be other sports that are conducting sports in the Spring and it's good to get out and exercise. If you are considering that, please sign up in time.

Mrs. Stauffer

- She said bringing up the fire companies, she wanted to know how it's going since April is right around the corner. Attorney Treadwell said all he can say is they are talking. He offered to sit down and try and help the discussions and he has not heard back from them. We'll see what happens in the next couple of weeks.

C. SOLICITOR – No report

D. ENGINEER – No report

IX. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY: Mrs. deLeon moved for adjournment. The time was 8:23 p.m.

SECOND BY: Mr. Banonis

ROLL CALL: 5-0

Submitted by:

Leslie Huhn
Township Manager

Sandra B. Yerger
Council President