

I. OPENING

- A. **CALL TO ORDER:** The Environmental Advisory Council meeting of Lower Saucon Township was called to order on Tuesday, February 11, 2014 at 7:02 P.M. at 3700 Old Philadelphia Pike, Bethlehem, PA, with Sandra Yerger, Chairman, presiding.
- B. **ROLL CALL:**
Members: Sandra Yerger, Chairman; Tom Maxfield, Vice-Chairman; Laura Ray (arrived at 7:18 P.M.), Allan Johnson, Dru Germanoski (arrived at 7:07 P.M.), Ted Beardsley. Absent: Hazem Hijazi; **Associate Members:** Michael Boyle, Sarah Stanlick; Absent: Glenn Kaye; **Hellertown Liaison:** Absent: Terry Boos
- C. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**
- D. **ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXECUTIVE SESSION (IF APPLICABLE)**
Mrs. Yerger said the EAC will be going into Executive Session before the meeting this evening. The EAC recessed at 7:04 P.M. The EAC reconvened at 7:49 P.M.

II. OPEN SPACE SUB-COMMITTEE

A. PROPERTY UPDATES

1. **OPEN SPACE SUB-COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION TO EAC FOR PROPERTY #2014-1**

MOTION BY: Mr. Germanoski moved that the EAC request the Township Council to purchase property #2014-1 to be preserved as permanent open space.

SECOND BY: Mr. Beardsley

ROLL CALL: 6-0 (Mr. Hijazi – Absent)

2. Mr. Beardsley said he ran into Rich Marson and Charlie Martin. Charlie Martin is saying they need a baseline study and he doesn't know when that is going to happen. Mrs. Yerger said we're going to get to that later on in the meeting. Mr. Beardsley said Rich Marson said there was something in the conservation easement that was a save harmless clause or something like that which he didn't quite understand. Mr. Beardsley said to him you need to get a hold of the Township or your attorney as he knows he has one and get it straightened out as we're getting ready to move ahead finally. Those two things are hanging and we need to move on. Mrs. Yerger said we had some discussion come down from Council that we need to address with regard to baselines and we'll get to that later in the meeting.
3. Mr. Johnson said at the last meeting we talked about going out and taking a look at the property on Helms Road that Elysium Acquisitions wants to give an easement to the Township on. Dru, Mike and Alan went out after they looked at the other property and they all agreed it was a nice property and it would be worthwhile acquiring except they did notice that there was some garbage along Helms Road and the property borders on Helms Road. They saw a sofa and a TV and the rest of the stuff was covered with snow. Does the Township want to go out there and look at that more in depth or do you think our Township guys could take care of it? Mr. Maxfield said possibly, we could ask them. It has been a dump site for a long time as it's so remote. Somebody is obviously going to be buying that piece of property, so there's going to be activity there and it won't be a vacant property anymore. Maybe just that additional activity on the property will stop that

situation. We could ask if the Township if that is in their purview to do that and if they are willing to do it, why not? Mr. Johnson said he's just mentioning it because the Township would be the holder of the easement and they get the responsibility if they do that, so maybe they ought to look into it before they do it.

Mr. Johnson talked to the surveyor, Art Swallow and he asked if the corners of the property were marked and Mr. Swallow said they were marked with pins. The borderlines that separate the conservation easement part of the property from the rest of it are not marked. He thinks it would be a good idea to establish three concrete markers to mark off these three corners of the property. When he was talking to Art, they were talking about doing five corners, but Mr. Johnson thinks three corners would be enough. When they were talking about doing five corners, he asked how much it would cost and he said for five concrete markers it would probably cost between \$900.00 and \$1,200.00. That doesn't sound like an awful lot of money. Mr. Maxfield said he would have to check with a couple different people at Town Hall to make sure we can concrete memorialize the corners of the easement area. Mr. Johnson said didn't we do that on the Whitmore property? Mr. Maxfield said he thinks we actually went in and put property monuments on the corners on a subdivision that occurred that no one had markers for. He thinks what we did was hit the markers on the corners of the original property but not necessarily the easement area. In that case, it may have coincided, but where the easement area is separate from the actual property boundary, he doesn't know if we can do that, but he could ask. Mr. Johnson said how is the person who goes out there for the yearly assessments, going to know where the easement property stops and the remainder of the property begins? That's why he thinks it's necessary to put those three markers in there. Mr. Maxfield said again, legally, he doesn't know if we can. If we can, maybe that's something we can do. He'll find out and let you know next meeting if we can legally do that. Mr. Johnson said what's Council's schedule as far as accepting this conservation easement? Mr. Maxfield said he thinks it was accepted already at their last Council meeting. They saw it, reviewed it and voted on it. Mrs. Yerger said we can't undo that. Mr. Maxfield said these are kind of addendums to that, so he can ask about it. Mr. Johnson said are you going to have a formal review, conservation documentation report prepared on this property? Mr. Maxfield said there's already a conservation easement. He thought we saw that? Mrs. Yerger said you saw the baseline. She doesn't know who would be monitoring this anyway since the Township holds it by themselves. Mr. Maxfield said you can stand at the corner of the property and see the whole property which is kind of interesting. Maybe we could ask Chris Garges to go out and look at it periodically. Mrs. Yerger said that's something we need to consider if the Township is the sole holder of the easement.

4. Mr. Maxfield said we were talking about doing the assessment for property #2014-2 that one that had been visited before. He's going to make that official with a motion asking the Open Space Committee to go and reassess #2014-2.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved that the Open Space Committee go and reassess property #2014-2 since there has been an addition of property and the access has changed.

SECOND BY: Mr. Germanoski

ROLL CALL: 6-0 (Mr. Hijazi – Absent)

5. Mr. Maxfield said this came up and the Martin property we are looking at, they were looking for a land trust beneficiary and he thinks as an EAC we should recommend that Heritage be the land trust beneficiary for this property as they are the land trust beneficiary for a couple of properties and it seems to be working out just fine.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to have Heritage as Land Trust Beneficiary signed on to the Martin conservation easement.

SECOND BY: Mr. Beardsley

ROLL CALL: 6-0 (Mr. Hijazi – Absent)

Mrs. Yerger said a land trust beneficiary is you have a non-profit entity holding a land trust beneficiary and it gives them the responsibility that should that easement be challenged, they have the right to and the obligation if they so choose to object to that easement being broken. It's sort of a belt and suspenders kind of thing so you have another entity besides the Township should the governing body change its mind about the open space and having easements on the properties and things like that. They would have to go back to the conservancy and say, okay if we are going to abandon or abolish these easements, what say you? It's sort of an insurance policy. With a land trust beneficiary, they don't do the monitoring. They leave that up to the original holder, which would be the Township. If they are a co-holder of the easement, then they will do the monitoring. We may want to address that and have a policy or at least recommend a policy on how we are going to address these properties that the Township now holds and there's no monitoring of the easements. She thinks it's important as things change. Mr. Johnson said did Heritage do the baseline survey on the Martin property? Mrs. Yerger said she thinks so. She's 99% sure it's Laura Baird. She's the Conservation Specialist for Heritage and she works with Terry Clemons a lot. She can double check that. We have these baselines which means it's recording all of the natural features that are worthy of conservation, but then we don't have anybody going back in year two, year three, year five to see if there's been any changes, if anybody has encroached. Working for a conservation organization, people do things like put up a shed, put a swing set in land they don't own, things like that. They deal with that all the time. Mr. Johnson said we had Wildlands Conservancy does a yearly checkout of the property on Coal Yard Road. Is that because they co-hold the easement? Mrs. Yerger said yes, but the problem with that one was the last time they did a monitoring, the first and only time at our request, they had the wrong property with the wrong property owner and we asked them to re-do it and we've never seen a revised version. Mr. Johnson said he thinks Ron Horiszny went out with the guy in 2013 because he asked if Mr. Johnson wanted to go along. Mrs. Yerger said if the Township has it, she hasn't seen it. Mr. Johnson said it's funny they don't show us that stuff. Mrs. Yerger said you'd have to have a score sheet, a check off sheet that everything is in good shape. Hopefully this time they had the right property owner on the right property. That would be a good thing because it wasn't correct and we never did get a revised version.

III. NEW BUSINESS

A. APPROVAL OF GOALS AND PROJECTS FOR 2014

Mrs. Yerger went over the goals and projects for 2014. No. 2, the electronics recycling they would keep on even though Ms. Palik said Johnny Johnson from FreeCycle had called right before the meeting and said if the EAC wanted to do a recycling event without the TV's, CRT's and Monitors, he would arrange for a box truck to be at the Township Public Works as last year. The EAC decided not to do a spring recycling event as they are afraid that the residents will bring TV's or screen TVs. They can't get rid of them. Mr. Germanoski said last year he went to their new facility on 25th Street with a TV and he said there would be a charge. Apparently, there's a quota for each county and when they met that quota, anything that came in was an expense to him. He got the impression the quota would re-set each calendar year. Mrs. Yerger said there's a facility at 611 where they will take TV's, but not the real large screens for \$10.00. Mr. Johnson asked if all these businesses were controlled by the PA business recycling law and the way he understood it, it was supposed to reset in January but maybe Harrisburg changed it. The EAC had many questions, so Mrs. Yerger said Tom Dittmar at the County will know, so she asked Ms. Palik to give him a call. Mr. Germanoski said he's afraid they would generate more anger at this event if people brought TV's and had to take them back home. Mrs. Yerger said this may end up as a real big issue. We can leave it on as a goal and maybe by fall the rules will change or something will happen. It doesn't mean because it's a goal, that we'll be able to accomplish all of them. Mr. Johnson

wondered if this was just Freecycle's policy or the change in the law. Mrs. Yerger said they are all the same. It's getting tougher and tougher. Mr. Johnson said he doesn't understand as the state passed a law that they had to recycle so many thousand pounds a year, has that law been changed? Mrs. Yerger said we can leave this on and maybe we'll do on in the fall. Mr. Johnson said maybe we can find out why the big change from last year to this year. Mrs. Yerger said maybe they have nowhere to go with them. Mr. Johnson said if we want to know the answer somebody is going to have to look into it. Ms. Palik will talk to Mr. Dittmar.

MOTION BY: Ms. Laura Ray moved for approval of the Goals and Projects for 2014.
SECOND BY: Mr. Johnson
ROLL CALL: 6-0 (Mr. Hijazi – Absent)

B. AUTHORIZE LAURA BAIRD TO BEGIN DISCUSSIONS WITH PROPERTY OWNERS OF PROPERTY #2014-2

Mr. Maxfield said we can recommend authorizing that Laura Baird begin discussion with the property owner of #2014-2 and that one of the things that Council had been kind of informed about earlier, our attorney, Linc Treadwell was going to work with this property owner because of the familiarity of the property owner's attorney and the working relationship. If we are going to authorize Laura Baird, he'd ask that we actually ask that Laura Baird and Linc Treadwell work with the property owners of #2014-2 and they can figure out who does what. Mrs. Yerger said she's always worked with Linc. It's a given. She knows where her jurisdictions fall. She helps the property owners make the final decisions and what are the property owner's goals to come out of this. She meets the goals of the township as well as the property owner. You have an end result which meets in preservation where everybody is happy. Mr. Maxfield said he wanted to make this particular motion because of the relationship that is already in place.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to recommend authorizing Laura Baird from Heritage Conservancy begin discussion with the property owner of #2014-2 and that Attorney Linc Treadwell also work with Laura Baird and the property owners.
SECOND BY: Mr. Germanoski
ROLL CALL: 6-0 (Mr. Hijazi – Absent)

IV. DEVELOPER ITEMS – None

V. UPDATES/REPORTS

A. UPDATE FROM ZACK BENEDETTO ON GIS PROJECT

Mr. Zack Benedetto from Lafayette College was present to update the EAC on the GIS project from his fall semester and he answered some questions that the EAC had on the presentation. Mr. Benedetto gave his power point presentation which is attached to these minutes. He said he will come back again in a couple of months and update his project again. He said if there is somebody in the Township or a future student to go on with this, that would be great.

Mr. Johnson said what is the range of the speeds of the ground water flow? Mr. Benedetto said he didn't look into that exactly. Mr. Germanoski said typically inches to feet per year unless you are in carbonate, the carbonates might be faster. This was very new to what Zack did. He really needs an hour or two to really explain all of the things and this gives us a sense of it. Mr. Germanoski was very pleased to see where those vectors came out. They should come out that way, but the fact they did, reinforced the litany of the model. They can tell things with the deep ground water models and as this goes forward, they'll get a better sense and that will be part of Zack's reporting. He thinks everybody gets a sense just looking at this that he's done a magnificent amount of work and he's poised right now to go that next level. This has exceeded so far Mr. Germanoski's expectations and we have a lot to look forward to this spring. Mr. Johnson said he's actually added

some new knowledge to the overall GIS data, hasn't he? Mr. Germanoski said not knowledge, but making it digital, digitizing those maps is an incredible resource for Chris Garges or anybody else using GIS in the Township. It's incredible the value of that now that it's in digital form. Mr. Maxfield said the groundwater vector map; you had the project area delineated around it. He thought that map was really interesting. Would there be a possibility as that goes to GIS that we could overlay like the actual Township boundaries and streets and things like that? Mr. Benedetto said any of those information layers can be overlaid. The way the arrows were spaced out a lot, there's a lot more information attached to it. He had to simplify it. When he put up all the arrows, it was all black as there were so many tiny points. Mr. Maxfield said we had a couple of instances in the past where we had old gas station tanks leaking and he doesn't know how many years it was until they showed up in the Saucon Creek. It would be nice to know where to look. Mr. Germanoski said unless you look at the data and the source as you realize how limited the existing well data are, these data are coming with someone out in the field with a drill rig, not a GPS unit, but a scrap of paper and pencil putting a mark on the paper where they put the well in the field, then it gets digitized later and gives it a sense of precision and accuracy as it has a GPS address, and then from year to year if we measure the water level from any single well, throughout the year it would fluctuate, so we're mixing water level data across years, so when we looked at it, we thought the best thing to do is shallow deep and then wet dry, what's a wet year, what's a dry year, trying to do your best estimate whereas Mod flow will be based more on geology and topography and first principals. There will be two interesting things, one it will be a better indicator, but two, it will be interesting to see how it compares to these data, so that's why Zack is doing this step-wise from the less complicated realm to increasing levels of complication.

Mr. Maxfield said on the contaminant maps, can you model that kind of thing for surface water depending on rainfall amounts? Mr. Germanoski said that would be different, but you could route the water through the landscape, but it would be a much more rapid flow path. You're talking about hours to days for that situation. One other big advantage is this is based on the highest resolution data. Those were one meter data he's using whereas a topographic map, most use a 20' intervals. It's probably no more precise than the 20' interval in terms of precision and accuracy so that in itself is a big step. They have meetings every other week and Zack showed them the vectors and they were pleasantly surprised they were doing what they were supposed to be doing and it's a check on whether there's any value to this. Once this model is built, Chris Garges or anyone could go in and do that and run it and see what the outcome is. The EAC said it was a great job. Ms. Stanlick said it was a very professional presentation and you could tell he has been entrenched in this and did a wonderful job. She took a picture was to put in their departmental newsletter or blog if they have one.

B. ACT 115 OF 2013 IMPROVES PA'S OPEN SPACE LAW

Mrs. Yerger said there was some discussion and concern in the conservation community, the Land Trust Alliance and a couple of those that there was some rumblings about how some municipalities were trying to take open space monies and use it for other purposes without going through a due process. That's the second part of this law and there were questions about whether or not it was legal, legitimate if they had a referendum, they purchased property with their open space monies, whether they could use that for some development of those parklands. That too has been defined in this law. This is just to answer a couple of questions that have been kicking around in the conservation world. It was good for everybody to know.

Mr. Johnson said the change in the law would now allow the Township to use open space money to have the borders of the Dravec property surveyed that the Township bought. Can we make a motion for the Township to reconsider surveying or determining the boundaries? Mrs. Yerger said are you sure it was never done? We talked about this numerous times. Mr. Maxfield said didn't Hanover go out and do something? Mr. Johnson said they just did one, the western border, that's it. The Township bought a triangle of land from Mr. Dravec that was part of his original farm. They surveyed that in order to draw up a deed and buy it. Then all the other parcels on the side of

the mountain they bought based on the deeds down in the courthouse and those deeds are very, very old and it's difficult to find the corners of those parcels. Those corners and boundaries define the piece of land the Township bought. We talked about this in the past and we encouraged the Township to have it surveyed. He and Ron Horiszny went out and tried to find some of these borders. They spend several days out there. They found some, but didn't find some other ones and he's thinking now that this change in the law gives the Township the ability to use up the 25% of open space taxes to do things to enhance and maintain the open space properties that they own and the ones they have conservation easements on. It would be a good use of the money to define the boundaries of the property. That way if you are using it as a park, those boundaries are marked and the people will not stray onto private property.

Mr. Maxfield said he has a feeling that it hasn't been done so far as it's going to be very, very expensive to do. He thinks some day it will have to be done and they are probably going to get it done just before it has to be done. The kind of things you are talking about are going down to the courthouse and digging up old records and deed searches and time-consuming things that are going to eat up a lot of money. We're talking thousands of dollars. He doesn't know how he feels about open space money going towards that.

Mr. Germanoski said trying to manage the funds and use them to their best advantage, this sort of thing you suggest would probably be done on an as-needed basis, case-by-case and that's more justifiable way of doing it if there's not an issue.

Mrs. Yerger said personally, she doesn't know of any issues that have arisen. She doesn't know of any landowner dispute or of any one complaining that people have strayed off the Dravec property. She thinks when it becomes an issue, and then we'll have to deal with it.

Mr. Germanoski said or if it's going to be used as a park like park fences or boundaries would require surveying, and then it would be done because it's a necessity.

Mr. Maxfield said there was a little bit of controversy a year ago by one of the neighbors who said the lines were wrong, and the stakes had been moved. He's not sure, as it never really went anywhere. Mr. Johnson said the Township had it surveyed then to settle that. Mr. Maxfield said then that may have been Hanover and they went out there and looked at that particular line. Mr. Johnson said he never saw any results. Mr. Maxfield said let him look into it as he has to ask Hanover a couple of things. He will see exactly that is at and he'll bring it back to you guys so we know what has to be done. Mr. Johnson said he's not sure there was ever any permanent corner markers installed to show the boundary between Dravec and the conservation easement part of the Dravec property. Mr. Maxfield said he thinks there's some sort of marker there, but he'll check on that.

C. REVIEW OF SAMPLE BASELINE DOCUMENTATION REPORTS

Mrs. Yerger said you have some cover letters. Council had asked that we look into looking at several entities for baseline assessments of property. Heritage had done some. It was brought up what would be the rates. It was mostly monetary. Heritage was \$1,200.00; Wildlands came in roughly between \$1,700.00 and \$2,000.00; and Natural Lands Trust came in at \$5,000.00. Those were the costs associated with it. She does have samples of the baseline documentation here. If someone wants to take a look at them, there is similarity between them. They were asked to make a recommendation and she doesn't know how you feel about doing that based on cost without taking a look at them.

Mr. Johnson said he'd like to take a look at them because there's a significant difference in cost between the three companies. They might be doing different things for their money. Mrs. Yerger said what we have to understand and put into perspective is there's only so much you truly need in a baseline. Mr. Johnson said who knows that? Mrs. Yerger said that's part of it. It's like anything

else you buy. If you go out and purchase a car, you can buy a Lexus or you can buy another brand, but what is really your need. Mr. Johnson said what is the Township's need? Do they have a position on this? Mrs. Yerger said no, and that's why it was brought up. She'll be honest. Heritage was doing the baselines when they were requested as they are our Open Space Consultants. There was never a problem with the baselines to her knowledge, it was just that somebody said they are charging us x number of dollars, what are the rest of them charging. She's going to be honest, she has a problem with the Wildlands as they monitored one property and did it incorrectly and asked for a correction and we never got the correction a year later. If that's a result of the kind of attention they pay to it, she has concerns about it. Ms. Stanlick asked what the justification was for the \$5,500.00 from Natural Lands Trust. Mrs. Yerger said probably because they work out of Media in Chester County and things are very expensive down there. She doesn't know how you want to take a look at these, but they are bound, there are pictures, documentation. Her concern is that she doesn't know if any of us have the expertise to determine to your point Allan, what all needs to be included in a baseline.

Mr. Beardsley said sometimes you won't know until after it's done. Mr. Johnson said does this have to be done in a hurry? Mrs. Yerger said the only thing is we're going to have to have a baseline if we're going to apply for a DCNR grant and will need it by April. Mr. Maxfield said and for the Marson property. Mrs. Yerger said that's two and these property owners aren't going to wait six months for us to dilly dally over a baseline. What we can do is and this is strictly a suggestion, we can, for those properties, continue where we are as that's what we've done and if you want to consider changing, then we can do that. If everyone wants to read these, it's not going to happen in a month.

Mr. Maxfield said he'd like to make a suggestion. He doesn't think there's any rush and why he doesn't think there's any rush is we used Heritage in the past many times and we've used Wildlands once, mainly because the property owners went to Wildlands on their own initially. That's why we ended up with them doing the baseline. He has no problem with that. If any property owner wants to pursue that course, they want to use Wildlands, Natural Lands Trust, that's their thing. He has no problem. Mrs. Yerger said that's their prerogative. The grantor can decide who does the baseline. Mr. Johnsons said then why do we have anything to do with it? Mr. Maxfield said if there is someone we would not recommend. When he read through the baseline that was done for the other property, even though it was assigned to the wrong owners, the baseline itself wasn't too bad, it was pretty good. He read one that Laura Baird did for the property on Lower Saucon Road and he thought that was very good. He doesn't have a problem with the quality of it, he does have a problem with the correctness of it, but if the property owner wants to go in that direction, that's fine. He doesn't think we should be limiting ourselves to one specific baseline writer. Mrs. Yerger said here's the issue when you have someone like Marson and Martin who is working strictly with the Township and is not working with a conservation entity other than with our consultant, are we okay, how do we decide? Mr. Maxfield said Council voted in Heritage as it's Open Space Consultant so that to him says that's the default and that's where we go. Mr. Germanoski said why don't we recommend to Council that we continue with Heritage while we take our time and look at some of these baseline reports with more care and attention and reserve to make the right recommendation once we've looked at it more carefully.

Mr. Maxfield said to him the monitoring goes hand in hand with the baseline. He wants to see from all these organizations a record of their monitoring. Do you really go out there? Do you follow up on them? When? How often? Ms. Ray said Heritage does. Mrs. Yerger said they send a little postcard saying they are coming, we're happy to have you there if you can. Ms. Ray said you get to hear their thoughts as to what they see.

Mr. Maxfield said why don't we do exactly what Sandy suggested, we go ahead with Heritage as our Open Space Consultant and they will be the person we naturally go to if we get the choice, if someone is working with us. If the homeowner wants something different, they tell us and we look at that too.

Mrs. Yerger said her impression was that there was some concern that the price point was going to be substantially different and it is substantially different but not to the point that Heritage is charging a lot more than what it can be done for by somebody else, but actually came out the reverse. Natural Lands Trust is an excellent organization. Their price point came out much higher; it's four times what Heritage was charging. She thinks the best thing to do is come in and borrow them and look at them at the Township. Mr. Germanoski said should we target a future meeting date for when we would revisit this so we know a deadline to look at these documents. Mrs. Yerger said that's a good idea. Mr. Johnson and Mr. Germanoski will come into the Township to look at the baseline documentation. Mrs. Yerger said we'll start the process now.

Mr. Beardsley left the meeting. The time was 9:10 P.M.

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved to recommend to Council that the Township proceeds with Heritage as our baseline and conservation easement writers and possible holders until we examine the baseline documentation that was presented to us.

SECOND BY: Mr. Germanoski

ROLL CALL: 5-0 (Mr. Hijazi and Mr. Beardsley – Absent)

D. CANCELLATION OF SPRING ELECTRONICS RECYCLING EVENT

Mrs. Yerger said this was talked above in the Goals and Project for 2014.

E. SET DATE FOR NATIVE PLANT SALE

Mrs. Yerger said traditionally the last couple of years we have worked with the Saucon Creek Watershed Association and held a native plant sale. We go to the Farmer's Market. She can reach out to Keri Maxfield who is President of the Saucon Creek Watershed Association. We've traditionally have done them in June as that's when the plants bloom. She will check with Keri and get back to you next month on this.

F. NORTHAMPTON COUNTY HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE DATES FROM MR. DITTMAR

Mrs. Yerger said you have a flyer in your packet on this event.

G. ADOPT-A-ROAD PROGRAM – SET DATE FOR SPRING CLEAN-UP

The EAC picked the date of Saturday, April 26, 2014 starting at 9:00 A.M.

VI. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. REVIEW AND APPROVAL OF JANUARY 14, 2014 MINUTES

Mr. Johnson said on page 1, Ted Beardsley's name is on twice. Omit one.

Mr. Johnson said page 3 the sentence that starts with "She will be there on Saturday", should read "Mrs. Yerger" will be there on Saturday".

Mr. Johnson said page 8, the last first motion, it reads "They would like to petition Council to do a survey and to pursue acquisitions of this property". It should read "They would like to petition Council to do an appraisal and to pursue acquisitions of this property."

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for approval of the January 14, 2014 minutes, with corrections.

SECOND BY: Mrs. Yerger

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mr. Hijazi and Mr. Beardsley – Absent)

**Environmental Advisory Council
February 11, 2014**

VII. OLD/MISCELLANEOUS BUSINESS – None

VIII. TERRY BOOS – HELLERTOWN REPRESENTATIVE – REPORT - Absent

IX. NON-AGENDA ITEMS/PUBLIC COMMENT – None

X. ADJOURNMENT

MOTION BY: Mr. Maxfield moved for adjournment. The time was 9:12 P.M.

SECOND BY: Ms. Ray

ROLL CALL: 4-0 (Mr. Hijazi and Mr. Beardsley – Absent)

Sandra Yerger, Chair